- 1. Could we get a copy of the LAP Bridge Program application with the estimate?
 - a. Yes, Bridge inspection reports were removed from them to save space. See attached.
- Could we get a copy of the latest bridge inspection report with photos for each structure?
 a. Yes, this is within the Bridge Program Application.
- 3. MDOT LAP does not require TS&L phase submittal for PM project. Please confirm that the Road Commission is not requiring.
 - a. The Road Commission will not require.
- 4. Do you have existing drawings for both structures?
 - a. Yes, See attached.
- 5. Is the RCRC aware of any special considerations involved in the coordination and permitting of endangered Species?
 - a. No, we are not.
- 6. How will the RCRC handle proposals that fully address the T&E species coordination and permitting and some that may not?
 - a. The proposals are reviewed for all the information requested in the RFP as applicable. <u>Preliminary and Final Design Phases</u>
 - 3. Conduct and prepare any coordination and permitting for endanger Species.

The selection is a quality-based selection process. We review the proposals based upon the requirements set forth in the RFP. If RFP items are omitted from a proposal, that is noted, and that applicant would be rated accordingly. We have an expectation that the firms submitting proposals are experts in the field and the submittals will address all the work and subsequent associated costs required to get these two bridges to an MDOT letting.

APPLICATION FOR FUNDING

For

PREVENTIVE MAINTENANCE (MULTIPLE STRUCTURE) OF

9030 - KENO ROAD BRIDGE OVER THE SOUTH BRANCH OF THE AU SABLE RIVER

PRIORITY #1

AuSable Township, Roscommon County

Submitted by: Roscommon County Road Commission April 2022

Keno Road Bridge over the South Branch of the Au Sable River

The Roscommon County Road Commission is requesting local bridge funds for the **preventive maintenance** of Keno Road Bridge over the South Branch of the Au Sable River. The Roscommon County Road Commission is committed to having this structure funded for the 2025 fiscal year. This bridge is the Road Commission's #1 priority for funding.

CONTACT

Roger Saxton – Manager 820 E. West Branch Road Prudenville, MI 48651 Phone: (989)366-0333

BACKGROUND

The Keno Road Bridge over the South Branch of the Au Sable River is a single span structure totaling 46 feet. This structure carries 2 lanes of traffic and has an inside width of 31 feet. The bridge superstructure is composed of side-by-side concrete box beams with an HMA wearing surface. The substructures consist of cast in place concrete abutments which have been widened. The structure was originally constructed in 1976. Inspection indicates that the deck is in good condition with chip sealed HMA surface. Beams are in fair condition with no visible leaking between beams, beam 1w has longitudinal cracks with efflorescence, and beam 11w has horizontal cracking in the fascia and one longitudinal crack at the south abutment. The concrete abutments have been widened, there are vertical cracks in the original abutments. The northwest abutment is deteriorating with map cracking and efflorescence. There is a hairline crack in the new section of the north abutment, the south abutment has a horizontal crack under beam 11w.

WEIGHT LIMIT

The structure is not currently posted for loading restrictions.

FUNCTIONAL CLASSIFICATION AND ECONOMIC IMPORTANCE

Keno Road is classified as a "minor collector" road. It is a 2-lane asphalt road which carries mostly local and agricultural traffic. The 2019 average daily traffic volume was 161 vehicles per day (vpd). The future traffic volumes (2042) are estimated to be 253 vpd. The economic importance of this structure is based on the extra travel time that will be needed due to the detour route if this structure is closed.

LOCAL IMPACTS AND DETOUR ROUTE

The detour route for traffic when the bridge will be closed is as follows: Keno Road to E Sunset Drive, to N Saint Helen Road, to Au Sable Road, back to Keno Road. If the structure is closed or weight restricted, the detour would affect the route of school buses for nearby schools, the response time of emergency vehicles for emergencies, and it would increase the amount of traffic on local roads. The approximate length of this detour is 9 miles utilizing major and minor collector roads.

PREVENTIVE MAINTENANCE WORK

Preventive maintenance of the Keno Road Bridge over the South Branch of the Au Sable River would include resealing the reference line joints, epoxy injection of abutment cracks, placing riprap for scour protection along each abutment, and placing silane treatment on the concrete fascia beams.

ESTIMATED PREVENTIVE MAINTENANCE COST

А.	Approach Construction	. \$20,000
B.	Structure Construction	. \$77,000
Tot	tal	. \$97,000

Roscommon County Road Commission will provide a 25% match for this project

TR 9030 STRUCTURE INVENTORY AND APPRAISAL				
Facility	Latitude / Longitude	MDOT Structure ID	Structure Condition	Ŷ
KENO ROAD (CR 602)	44.4813 / -84.451	72200019000B010	Fair Condition(6)	
Feature	Length / Width / Spans	Owner		
S BR AU SABLE RIVER	45.9 / 33.5 / 1	County: Roscommon(72)		
Location	Built / Recon. / Paint / Ovly.	TSC	Operational Status	
SECTION 8&9 T24N R1W	1976 / / /	Gaylord(27)	A Open, no restriction(A)	
Region / County	Material / Design	Last NBI Inspection	Scour Evaluation	
North(2) / Roscommon(72)	1 Concrete / 05 Box Bm/Gird- Multiple	06/17/2020 / G9CS	5 Stable w/in footing	

Bridge History, Type, Materials 27 - Voor Built 1076

	1370	
106 - Year Reconstructed		
202 - Year Painted		
203 - Year Overlay		
43 - Main Span Bridge Type	1	05
44 - Appr Span Bridge Type		
77 - Steel Type	0	
78 - Paint Type	0	
79 - Rail Type	4	
80 - Post Type	3	
107 - Deck Type	1	
108A - Wearing Surface	6	
108B - Membrane	2	
108C - Deck Protection	0	

Structure Dimensions

Structure Dimens	
34 - Skew	0
35 - Struct Flared	N
45 - Num Main Spans	1
46 - Num Apprs Spans	0
48 - Max Span Length	43
49 - Structure Length	45.9
50A - Width Left Curb/SW	0
50B - Width Right Curb/SW	0
33 - Median	0
51 - Width Curb to Curb	30.8
52 - Width Out to Out	33.5
112 - NBIS Length	Υ
Inspection Dat	а
90 - Inspection Date	06/17/2020
91 - Inspection Freq	24
92A - Frac Crit Reg/Freg	Ν
93A - Frac Crit Insp Date	
92B - Und Water Reg/Freg	Ν
93B - Und Water Insp Date	
92C - Oth Spec Insp Req/Freq	Ν
93C - Oth Spec Insp Date	
92D - Fatigue Req/Freq	N
93D - Fatigue Insp Date	
176A - Und Water Insp Method	1
58 - Deck Rating	7
58A/B - Deck Surface/Bottom	7 N
59 - Superstructure Rating	7
59A - Paint Rating	N
60 - Substructure Rating	6
61 - Channel Rating	6
62 - Culvert Rating	Ν
Navigation Dat	a
38 - Navigation Control	0
39 - Vertical Clearance	0

39 - Vertical Clearance	0
40 - Horizontal Clearance	0
111 - Pier Protection	
116 - Lift Brdg Vert Clear	0

Route Carried By Structure	
5A - Record Type	1
5B - Route Signing	4
5C - Level of Service	0
5D - Route Number	00000
5E - Direction Suffix	0
10L - Best 3m Unclr-Lt	0
10R - Best 3m Unclr-Rt	99
PR Number	
Control Section	
11 - Mile Point	
12 - Base Highway Network	0
13 - LRS Route-Subroute	0000010
19 - Detour Length	7
20 - Toll Facility	3
26 - Functional Class	08
28A - Lanes On	2
29 - ADT	161
30 - Year of ADT	2019
32 - Appr Roadway Width	36.1
32A/B - Ap Pvt Type/Width	4
42A - Service Type On	1
47L - Left Horizontal Clear	0.0
47R - Right Horizontal Clear	31.5
53 - Min Vert Clr Ov Deck	99
100 - STRAHNET	0
102 - Traffic Direct	2
109 - Truck %	0
110 - Truck Network	0
114 - Future ADT	239
115 - Year Future ADT	2039
Freeway	0
Structure Approi	
	501
36A - Bridge Railing	0
36B - Rail Transition	0
36C - Approach Rail	1
36D - Rail Termination	1
67 - Structure Evaluation	6
68 - Deck Geometry	0
69 - Underclearance	N
71 - Waterway Adequacy	9
72 - Approach Alignment	9
103 - Temporary Structure	-
113 - Scour Criticality	5
Miscellaneous	5
37 - Historical Significance	4
98A - Border Bridge State	
98B - Border Bridge %	0
101 - Parallel Structure	N
EPA ID	
Stay in Place Forms	
-	

Stay in Place Forms
143 - Pin & Hanger Code
148 - No. of Pin & Hangers

(ON R	ecord)	Route Under Structure (UN	DER R	ecord)
1		5A - Record Type		
4		5B - Route Signing		
0		5C - Level of Service		
00000		5D - Route Number		
0		5E - Direction Suffix		
0	0	10L - Best 3m Unclr-Lt		
99	99	10R - Best 3m Unclr-Rt		
		PR Number		
		Control Section		
		11 - Mile Point		
0		12 - Base Highway Network		
000001	0601 02	13 - LRS Route-Subroute		
7		19 - Detour Length		
3		20 - Toll Facility		
08		26 - Functional Class		
2		28B - Lanes Under		
161		29 - ADT		
2019		30 - Year of ADT		
36.1		42B - Service Type Under	5	
4	35.99	47L - Left Horizontal Clear		
1		47R - Right Horizontal Clear		
0.0		54A - Left Feature		
31.5		54B - Left Underclearance	99	99
99	99	54C - Right Feature		
0		54D - Right Clearance	99	99
2		Under Clearance Year		
0		55A - Reference Feature	N	
0		55B - Right Horiz Clearance	99.9	
239		56 - Left Horiz Clearance	0	
2039		100 - STRAHNET		
0		102 - Traffic Direct		
al		109 - Truck %		
0		110 - Truck Network		
0		114 - Future ADT		
<u> </u>		115 - Year Future ADT		
1		Freeway		
6		Proposed Improven	nents	
6		75 - Type of Work		
N		76 - Length of Improvement		
9		94 - Bridge Cost		
9		95 - Roadway Cost		
		96 - Total Cost		
5		97 - Year of Cost Estimate		

- Route Signing C - Level of Service 0 - Route Number - Direction Suffix L - Best 3m Unclr-Lt R - Best 3m Unclr-Rt PR Number **Control Section** - Mile Point - Base Highway Network - LRS Route-Subroute - Detour Length - Toll Facility - Functional Class B - Lanes Under - ADT - Year of ADT B - Service Type Under L - Left Horizontal Clear R - Right Horizontal Clear A - Left Feature B - Left Underclearance C - Right Feature D - Right Clearance Under Clearance Year A - Reference Feature B - Right Horiz Clearance - Left Horiz Clearance 0 - STRAHNET

- 2 Traffic Direct 9 - Truck %
- 10 Truck Network
- 4 Future ADT
- 5 Year Future ADT Freeway

Proposed Improvements

- Type of Work

- Length of Improvement - Bridge Cost - Roadway Cost - Total Cost
- Year of Cost Estimate

Load Rating and Posting

31 - Design Load 5 41 - Open, Posted, Closed A 63 - Fed Oper Rtg Method 6 64F - Fed Oper Rtg Load 1.9 64MA - Mich Oper Rtg Method 6 64MB - Mich Oper Rtg 1.23 64MC - Mich Oper Truck 18 65 - Inv Rtg Method 6 66 - Inventory Load 1.16 70 - Posting 5 141 - Posted Loading 193 - Overload Class

STR 9030	WORK RECOM			
Facility	Latitude / Longitude	Latitude / Longitude MDOT Structure ID		Ŷ
KENO ROAD (CR 602)	44.4813 / -84.451	72200019000B010	Fair Condition(6)	
Feature	Length / Width / Spans	Owner		
S BR AU SABLE RIVER	45.9 / 33.5 / 1	45.9 / 33.5 / 1 County: Roscommon(72)		
Location	Built / Recon. / Paint / Ovly.	TSC	Operational Status	
SECTION 8&9 T24N R1W	1976 / / /	Gaylord(27)	A Open, no restriction(A)	
Region / County	Material / Design	Last NBI Inspection	Scour Evaluation	
North(2) / Roscommon(72)	1 Concrete / 05 Box Bm/Gird- Multiple	06/17/2020 / G9CS	5 Stable w/in footing	

WORK RECOMMENDATIONS

NORK RECOMMENDATIONS					
Inspector Name		Agency / Company Name	I	nsp. Freq.	Insp. Date
James Brock		ROWE Professional Services Company		24	06/17/2020
CREW RECOMMENDATIONS			CONTR		ATIONS
Recommendation Type	Priority	Description	Recommendation Type	Priority	Description

STR 9030	LOAD RATIN	IG ASSUMPTIONS	
Facility	Latitude / Longitude	MDOT Structure ID	Structure Condition
KENO ROAD (CR 602)	44.4813 / -84.451	72200019000B010	Fair Condition(6)
Feature	Length / Width / Spans	Owner	
S BR AU SABLE RIVER	45.9 / 33.5 / 1	County: Roscommon(72)	
Location	Built / Recon. / Paint / Ovly	y. TSC	Operational Status
SECTION 8&9 T24N R1W	1976 / / /	Gaylord(27)	A Open, no restriction(A)
Region / County	Material / Design	Last NBI Inspection	Scour Evaluation
North(2) / Roscommon(72)	1 Concrete / 05 Box Bm/Gi	ird- 06/17/2020 / G9CS	5 Stable w/in footing
Rating Considers Field Condition Deterioration: Minor cracking in edge of beam 1	on of Members: Yes 1w. No spalling or exposed stran	Inspection Date: 06/	/17/2020
Most Recent Year Construct / R History of Work Impacting Load No work history available.	teconstruct / Overlay: 1976 d Rating:	;	
Superstructure Component:	5 Prestressed Concrete	Beam fy: 270.0 ks	i Beam f'c / fb: 5.0 ksi
Composite:	No Number of Bea	ams: 11 Shop Drav	vings Verified: No
Beam Size(s) & Names (each span):	21x36 PSBB / 11 beams / 1 spa	an	
Deck: Thickness (in.):	3.0 Fy / f'c:	/ ksi Dec	ck Design Load > H15: Yes
Wearing Surface: Mat'l:	НМА	Thickness (in.): 3.0	Unit Weight (pcf.): 150.0
	LEFT	CENTER	RIGHT
Barrier: Type / Weight (plf.):	Double B Rail / 40.0	1	Double B Rail / 40.0
Sidewalk: Width / Thick (in):	/	,	
	,	1	,
Clear Roadway (It.):	32.0		
Unique Factors That Affect Cap	pacity:		

STR 9030 LOAD RATING SUMMARY					
Facility Latitude / Longitude		ude	MDOT Structure ID	Structure Condition	
KENO ROAD (CR 602)	44.4813 / -84.451		72200019000B010	Fair Condition(6)	
Feature	Length / Width /	Spans	Owner		
S BR AU SABLE RIVER	45.9 / 33.5 / 1	-	County: Roscommon(72)		
Location	Built / Recon. / Pa	int / Ovly.	TSC	Operational Status	
SECTION 8&9 T24N R1W	1976 / / /	-	Gaylord(27)	A Open, no restriction(A)	
Region / County	Material / Design		Last NBI Inspection	Scour Evaluation	
North(2) / Roscommon(72) 1 Concrete / 05 Box Bm/		ox Bm/Gird-	06/17/2020 / G9CS	5 Stable w/in footing	
Compliance Issue:		None			
Analysis Program:		ΔΔΩΗΤΟΙ	Ware Bridge Rating (BrR)		
Analysis Program Version:		6 8 4 300	1		
Rating Considers Field Condition	of Members:	Yes	Inspection Date:	06/17/2020	
Controlling component and failur	e mode:	100		00,11,2020	
Fascia Beam in Shear at 0.3					
NEW INVENTORY CODING					

NBI Item 63 - Operating Rating Method			6 LFR in Rating Factor	
NBI Item 64F - Federal Operating Ratings			1.9	
MDOT Item 64MA - Michigan Operating Method			6 LFR in Rating Factor	
MDOT Item 64MB - Michigan Operating Rating			1.23	
MDOT Item 64MC - Michigan Operating Truck			18	
NBI Item 65 - Inventory Rating Method		6 LFR in Rating Factor		
NBI Item 66 - Federal Inventory Rating		1.16		
NBI Item 41 - Structure Open Posted Closed NBI Item 70 - Bridge Posting Posted By MDOT Item 141 - Posted Loading		A A Oper 5 5 - 100º No Postir	n, no restriction % or more ng	
MDOT Item 193A - Michi MDOT Item 193C - Over	igan Overload Class load Status			
Analyzed By:	Jonathan Lidgard	Date:	08/17/2020	
Checked By:	Mike Soteropoulos	Date:	08/19/2020	



Looking across Keno Road Bridge



Fascia of Keno Road Bridge





Cracking in HMA surface



Adjacent box beams





Crack in abutment



Cracking with efflorescence in slopewall





	2022		E	BRIDGE COST ESTIMATE WO	RKSHEET			REV. 02/09/2022
				- CPM, REHAB, REPLAC	CE -		DATE:	3/18/2022
OWNER:	Roscommon Count	y FISCAL Y	EAR: 2025			Curb to Curb	ENGINEER:	ANH
TSC:	Gaylord	PR: #N/A	MP: #N/A	45.9	33.5	30.8	STRUCTURE ID:	9030
							BRIDGE ID:	N/A
PRIMA	ARY WORK ACTIVITY	Scour Protection	OVER S BR AU SAB	DECK AREA:	1.538	SFT	STR. TYPE:	Concrete
	OTHER WORK:			CLEAR ROADWAY:	1,414	SFT		Box Beam or Girders - Mi
NEW BRID	GE	<u>IVITY</u> (increa:	MDOT Bride se deck area based	<u>e Design Guides</u> on design standards and hydraulic requirements)	QUANTITY	UNIT	UNIT COST	TOTAL
Sing	le or Multiple Spans, G	rade Separation	(add demo	, approach, MOT)		SFT	\$330.00 /SFT	
Sing	le Span, Over Water	Length < 10	00ft (add demo	, approach, MOT)		SFT	\$450.00 /SFT	
Multi	iple Spans, Over Water	Length > 10	00ft (add demo	, approach, MOT)		SFT	\$330.00 /SFT	
Fiec		Lengin < 40	it (add deind			351	9490.00 //3F1	
NEW SUPE	ERSTRUCTURE Superstructure Grade	Separation	(incl. remove exi	t deck/super: add MOT & approach)		SET	\$225.00 /SET	1
New	Superstructure, Over \	Vater	(incl. remove exi	at deck/super; add MOT & approach)		SFT	\$225.00 /SFT	
	· · · ·							
Struc	cture Widening,	ft	(incl. deck/super	sub widening, add approach transition)		SFT	\$550.00 /SFT	
NEW DECH	к							
New	Bridge Deck & Barrier		(incl. remove exi	at deck/railing, add approach, MOT)		SFT	\$120.00 /SFT	
DEMOLITI	ON							
Entir	e Structure, Grade Sep	aration				SFT	\$65.00 /SFT	
Entir	e Structure, Over Wate	r				SFT	\$65.00 /SFT	
DECK REP	PAIR / TREATMENTS				1			1
Bridg	ge Railing Replacemen	t h Rotch	(incl. removal an	d replacement)		FT	\$600.00 /FT	
Con	crete Barrier Patch	DPatch	(incl. hand chipp	ng and formwork)		SET	\$25.00 /FT	
Cond	crete Deck Patch		(incl. hand chipp	ng)		SFT	\$63.00 /SFT	
Deep	p Overlay		(incl. joint repl &	hydro)		SFT	\$43.00 /SFT	
Epox	ky Overlay		(incl. warranty)			SYD	\$39.00 /SYD	
Expa	ansion Joint Gland Rep	lacement	(remove and rep (incl. removal)	ace elastomeric gland)		FI	\$115.00 /FT	
Full I	Depth Patch	лц	(inci. removal)			SFT	\$130.00 /SFT	
Heal	ler / Sealer		(penetrates crac	(s in bridge deck)		SYD	\$16.00 /SYD	
HMA	Overlay with WP men	ıbrane				SYD	\$60.00 /SYD	
Over	rlay Removal		(Epoxy: \$22/syd	Latex: \$26/syd HMA: \$7/syd)	60.0	SYD	\$22.00 /SYD	¢4 700
Shal	low Overlav		(incl. joint repl &	hydro)	66.0	SET	\$25.00 /FT \$40.00 /SET	\$1,700
SUDEDET			(intell joint ropi of	ijaio,	1	0		
Bear	ring Realignment / Ren	lacement	(incl_temporary)	upports)		FΔ	\$5,700,00 EA	1
Heat	t Straightening	additiona	(incl. clean and c	oat)		EA	\$45,000.00 EA	
Pack	Rust Repair		(greater than 3/8	separation)		FT	\$850.00 /FT	
Pain	t - Complete		(incl. clean & coa	t)		SFT	\$30.00 /SFT	
Pain	t - Partial / Spot / Zone		(incl. clean & coa	tt - \$20k minimum)		SFT	\$60.00 /SFT	
PULI Pin &	Beam End Blockout & Hanger Replacement		(incl. temporary	supports)		EA FA	\$7,200.00 EA	
Struc	ctural Steel Repair		(based on 6ft rep	air length)		EA	\$3,400.00 EA	
	Structural Steel Repair	- Stiffener	(includes each s	de of beam)		EA	\$1,350.00 EA	
SUBSTRU	CTURE REPAIR							
Subs	structure Patching		(measured x 2)	eplace if repair area > 30%		CFT	\$330.00 /CFT	
Subs	structure Replacement		(incl. temporary	supports, excavation)		CFT	\$375.00 /CFT	
Subs	structure Horizontal Sul	tace Sealer	(add Structural S	teel Repair - Stiffener for ea steel heam)		EA SYD	\$75.00 /SYD \$3.000.00 EA	
			(add Olideidiai e		1		45,000.00 EA	1
Artic	NEOUS	System (ACB)			1	SVD	\$280.00\/SVD	1
Cond	crete Surface Coating	Cystem (NOD)				SYD	\$32.00 /SYD	
Culv	ert Cleanout					FT	\$125.00 /FT	
Epox	ky Crack Injection		(structural crack	repair)	100.0	FT	\$70.00 /FT	\$7,000
Meta	al Mesh Panels		(48" width, max 6	5'-6" length)		SFT	\$26.00 /SFT	
Ripra			(assume 10ft dis	ance around perimeter of substructure)	160.0	SYD	\$223.00 /SYD	\$35.680
Silar	ne Treatment		(penetrating sea	er for concrete surfaces)	165.0	SFT	\$7.00 /SFT	\$1,155
Slop	e Protection Repairs					SYD	\$145.00 /SYD	
Othe	er							
					STRUCTU	RE CONSTR	UCTION BUDGET	\$45,535
ROAD WO	RK							
Appr	roach Pavement, 12" R	C	(incl. removal; ad	d curb, gutter, guardrail) 40' ea. end		SYD	\$200.00 /SYD	
Appr	roach Curb & Gutter		(incl. removal) 4	D' ea. quadrant		FT	\$57.00 /FT	
Guai	rdrail Anchorage to Brid	ige	(each quadrant)			EA	\$2,320.00 /EA	
Guar	rdrail rdrail Torminal		(incl. removal) <	200ft beyond reference line		FI	\$34.00 /FI	
Road	dway Approach Work		(beyond approad	h pavement)		LSUM	LSUM	
Utiliti	ies					LSUM	LSUM	
TRAFFIC C	CONTROL	Unit Cost to be determine	ed bv Reaion or T	SC Traffic & Safetv				
Part	Width Construction		, , ,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1.0	LSUM	\$20,000.00 LSUM	\$20,000
Cros	sovers					EA	/EA	
Tem	porary Traffic Signals					set	/set	
Deto	-lagging our					LSUM	LSUM	
				KELAIED R				\$20,000
CONTINGE	ENCY	(10% - 20%) (use higher	contingency for s	mall projects)	20	%	\$66,000.00	\$13,000
MOBILIZA	TION	(estimate at 10%)			10	%	\$79,000.00	\$8,000
	N	(assume 4% per year, be	ginning in 2023)		12	%	\$87,000.00	<u> </u>
		-		(Does not include PE or CE)	IOT		CUCTION BUDGET	\$97,000
		(Refer to pro	gramming guidelines i	I DITUGE COST ESTIMATING WORKSheet-Key for CE,PE & PE-S)		% CE % PE	PE BUDGET	\$97,000 \$0

% PE % PE PE BUDGET PE-S BUDGET

\$0 \$0

RESOLUTION FOR THE KENO ROAD BRIDGE PREVENTIVE MAINTENANCE THROUGH THE LOCAL BRIDGE PROGRAM

On a motion duly made by Commissioner Vaughn seconded by Commissioner Earley and passed with 5 Ayes and 0 Nays, it was moved to adopt the following resolution:

WHERE AS, the condition of the bridge listed below have deteriorated to such an extent that preventive maintenance is necessary and

WHERE AS, the budget of the Roscommon County Road Commission will not allow preventive maintenance of this bridge without additional funds from other sources.

THEREFORE BE IT NOW RESOLVED that the Roscommon County Road Commission request local bridge program funds for preventive maintenance of the Keno Road over the South Branch AuSable River Bridge for the year 2025.

I hereby certify the above is a true and correct copy of a resolution unanimously adopted by the Roscommon County Road Commission at a meeting held on March 10, 2022.

ATTEST:

Stefanie Simmons Board Clerk

Dated: 3-11-2022

Drafted by:

Stefanie Simmons Roscommon County Road Commission 820 E. West Branch Road Prudenville, MI 48651

STEFANIE E. SIMMONS

NOTARY PUBLIC, STATE OF MICHIGAN COUNTY OF ROSCOMMON MY COMMISSION EXPIRES MAY 25, 2024 ACTING IN THE COUNTY OF ROSCOMMON Subscribed and sworn to before me on the above date:

Uper & Summer

Notary Public, Roscommon, Michigan My Commission Expires: May 25, 2024

APPLICATION FOR FUNDING

For

PREVENTIVE MAINTENANCE (MULTIPLE STRUCTURE) OF

9031 - OLD US 27 BRIDGE OVER THE WOLF CREEK

PRIORITY #1

Roscommon Township, Roscommon County

Submitted by: Roscommon County Road Commission April 2022

Old US 27 Bridge over the Wolf Creek

The Roscommon County Road Commission is requesting local bridge funds for the **preventive maintenance** of Old US 27 Bridge over the Wolf Creek. The Roscommon County Road Commission is committed to having this structure funded for the 2025 fiscal year. This bridge is the Road Commission's #1 priority for funding.

CONTACT

Roger Saxton – Manager 820 E. West Branch Road Prudenville, MI 48651 Phone: (989)366-0333

BACKGROUND

The Old US 27 Bridge over the Wolf Creek is a single span bridge with a total length of 40 Ft. This structure carries 2 lanes of traffic with and inside width of 35 feet. The structure was originally constructed in 1935. The bridge superstructure is composed of side-by-side concrete box beams with a concrete deck. The railings are concrete open parapet, with scrapes along both rails. Inspection indicates that the deck is in fair condition with 3 diagonal cracks along the north reference line and four perpendicular cracks at the centerline of the south reference line. The substructures consist of the original concrete abutments with new beam seats. The north beam seat has 4 vertical cracks and the south beam seat has 6 vertical cracks, there are vertical cracks up to 1/4" wide in the original abutments and map cracking with efflorescence in the original abutments outside of the beams. There is riprap in all 4 quadrants but not in front of the existing abutments, there is water wall to wall. There is debris upstream and downstream of the structure.

WEIGHT LIMIT

The structure is not currently posted for loading restrictions.

FUNCTIONAL CLASSIFICATION AND ECONOMIC IMPORTANCE

Old US 27 is classified as a "major collector" and is an all-season road. It is a 2-lane asphalt road which carries mostly local, commercial, and tourist traffic. The 2020 average daily traffic volume was 1,276 vehicles per day (vpd). The future traffic volumes (2042) are estimated to be 1,973 vpd.

This structure is a major north-south roadway running parallel to US-127 and serves as the detour route for US-127 between Exits 176 and 189. The economic importance of this structure is based on the extra travel time that will be needed due to the detour route if this structure is closed, including the impact on any detours required along US-127.

This structure is located in the Roscommon State Forest Area, and closure or weight restrictions on this structure would affect the tourism in this area. Including the nearby campgrounds, hiking trails, ORV trails, and nature trails that are popular for cross county skiing and birdwatching.

LOCAL IMPACTS AND DETOUR ROUTE

The detour route for traffic if the bridge needed to be closed due to deterioration is as follows: Old US 27 to Snow Bowl Road, to US 127, to N Clare Ave, which becomes Old US 27. If the structure is closed or

weight restricted, the detour would affect the route of school buses for nearby schools, the response time of emergency vehicles for emergencies, and it would increase the amount of traffic on local roads. The approximate length of this detour is 28 miles utilizing major and minor collector and arterial roads.

PREVENTIVE MAINTENANCE WORK

Preventive maintenance of Old US 27 Bridge over the Wolf Creek includes epoxy overlay extending 10 feet onto the approaches, epoxy injecting abutment cracks, riprap as scour protection, silane treatment on the concrete railings and concrete beam fascia, and resealing the reference joints.

ESTIMATED PREVENTIVE MAINTENANCE COST

A.	Approach Construction	.\$20,000
B.	Structure Construction	. \$95,000
Tot	tal	\$115,000

Roscommon County Road Commission will provide a 25% match for this project

STR 9031 STRUCTURE INVENTORY AND APPRAISAL						
Facility	Latitude / Longitude	MDOT Structure ID	Structure Condition	<u>s</u>		
OLD US-27	44.2095 / -84.7917	72200026000B010	Good Condition(7)			
Feature	Length / Width / Spans	Owner				
WOLF CREEK	40 / 37.5 / 1	County: Roscommon(72))			
Location	Built / Recon. / Paint / Ovly.	TSC	Operational Status			
SECTION 15/16 T21N R4W	1935 / 2008 / /	Gaylord(27)	A Open, no restriction(A)			
Region / County	Material / Design	Last NBI Inspection	Scour Evaluation			
North(2) / Roscommon(72)	5 Prestressed Concrete / 05 Box Bm/Gird- Multiple	06/17/2020 / 335G	3 SC - Unstable			
Bridge History, Type, Mate	erials Route Carried By St	ructure(ON Record) Re	oute Under Structure (UNDER	Record)		

Bridge History, Type, Materials

27 - Year Built	1935	
106 - Year Reconstructed	2008	
202 - Year Painted		
203 - Year Overlay		
43 - Main Span Bridge Type	5	05
44 - Appr Span Bridge Type		
77 - Steel Type	0	
78 - Paint Type	0	
79 - Rail Type	6	
80 - Post Type	2	
107 - Deck Type	1	
108A - Wearing Surface	1	
108B - Membrane	0	
108C - Deck Protection	1	

Structure Dimensions

Structure Dimens	10113
34 - Skew	30
35 - Struct Flared	Ν
45 - Num Main Spans	1
46 - Num Apprs Spans	0
48 - Max Span Length	38
49 - Structure Length	40
50A - Width Left Curb/SW	0
50B - Width Right Curb/SW	0
33 - Median	0
51 - Width Curb to Curb	35.1
52 - Width Out to Out	37.5
112 - NBIS Length	Y
Inspection Dat	а
90 - Inspection Date	06/17/2020
90 - Inspection Date 91 - Inspection Freq	06/17/2020 24
90 - Inspection Date 91 - Inspection Freq 92A - Frac Crit Reg/Freq	06/17/2020 24 N
90 - Inspection Date 91 - Inspection Freq 92A - Frac Crit Req/Freq 93A - Frac Crit Insp Date	06/17/2020 24 N
90 - Inspection Date 91 - Inspection Freq 92A - Frac Crit Req/Freq 93A - Frac Crit Insp Date 92B - Und Water Req/Freq	06/17/2020 24 N N
90 - Inspection Date 91 - Inspection Freq 92A - Frac Crit Req/Freq 93A - Frac Crit Insp Date 92B - Und Water Req/Freq 93B - Und Water Insp Date	06/17/2020 24 N N
90 - Inspection Date 91 - Inspection Freq 92A - Frac Crit Req/Freq 93A - Frac Crit Insp Date 92B - Und Water Req/Freq 93B - Und Water Insp Date 92C - Oth Spec Insp Req/Freq	06/17/2020 24 N N N
90 - Inspection Date 91 - Inspection Freq 92A - Frac Crit Req/Freq 93A - Frac Crit Insp Date 92B - Und Water Req/Freq 93B - Und Water Insp Date 92C - Oth Spec Insp Req/Freq 93C - Oth Spec Insp Date	06/17/2020 24 N N N
90 - Inspection Date 91 - Inspection Freq 92A - Frac Crit Req/Freq 93A - Frac Crit Insp Date 92B - Und Water Req/Freq 93B - Und Water Insp Date 92C - Oth Spec Insp Req/Freq 93C - Oth Spec Insp Date 92D - Fatigue Req/Freq	06/17/2020 24 N N N N
90 - Inspection Date 91 - Inspection Freq 92A - Frac Crit Req/Freq 93A - Frac Crit Insp Date 92B - Und Water Req/Freq 93B - Und Water Insp Date 92C - Oth Spec Insp Req/Freq 93C - Oth Spec Insp Date 92D - Fatigue Req/Freq 93D - Fatigue Insp Date	06/17/2020 24 N N N N
 90 - Inspection Date 91 - Inspection Freq 92A - Frac Crit Req/Freq 93A - Frac Crit Insp Date 92B - Und Water Req/Freq 93B - Und Water Insp Date 92C - Oth Spec Insp Req/Freq 93C - Oth Spec Insp Date 92D - Fatigue Req/Freq 93D - Fatigue Insp Date 176A - Und Water Insp Method 	06/17/2020 24 N N N N 2
 90 - Inspection Date 91 - Inspection Freq 92A - Frac Crit Req/Freq 93A - Frac Crit Insp Date 92B - Und Water Req/Freq 93B - Und Water Insp Date 92C - Oth Spec Insp Req/Freq 93C - Oth Spec Insp Date 92D - Fatigue Req/Freq 93D - Fatigue Insp Date 176A - Und Water Insp Method 58 - Deck Rating 	06/17/2020 24 N N N N 2 7
90 - Inspection Date 91 - Inspection Freq 92A - Frac Crit Req/Freq 93A - Frac Crit Insp Date 92B - Und Water Req/Freq 93B - Und Water Insp Date 92C - Oth Spec Insp Req/Freq 93C - Oth Spec Insp Date 92D - Fatigue Req/Freq 93D - Fatigue Reg/Freq 93D - Fatigue Insp Date 176A - Und Water Insp Method 58 - Deck Rating 58A/B - Deck Surface/Bottom	06/17/2020 24 N N N 2 7 7 N

59A - Paint Rating 60 - Substructure Rating 61 - Channel Rating 62 - Culvert Rating

38 - Navigation Control 39 - Vertical Clearance 40 - Horizontal Clearance 111 - Pier Protection 116 - Lift Brdg Vert Clear

b to Curb	35.1		102 Troffic Dire
to Out	37.5		102 - Trailic Dire
ngth	Y		109 - Truck %
Inspection Dat	110 - Truck Netw 114 - Future ADT		
n Date	06/17/2	2020	115 - Year Future
Freq	24		Freeway
t Reg/Freg	N		C+ri
t Insp Date			OCA Dridre Deil
ter Reg/Freg	Ν		36A - Bridge Rail
ter Insp Date			30D - Kall Hansi
c Insp Req/Freq	N		36C - Approach r
c Insp Date			50D - Kall Terrini
Req/Freq	N		68 Dock Coom
Insp Date			60 Undereleared
ater Insp Method	2		71 - Waterway A
ng	7		72 - Annroach Ali
Surface/Bottom	7	N	103 - Temporary
cture Rating	8		113 - Scour Critic
ating	N		
ure Rating	7		N
Rating	7		37 - Historical Sig
ating	N		98A - Border Bric
Navigation Dat	t <u>a</u>		98B - Border Brid
n Control	0		
learance	0		Stav in Place
l Clearance	0		1/13 - Din & Hand
tection			148 - No. of Pin &
Vert Clear	0		

Roule Carried by Structure		ecora)
5A - Record Type	1	
5B - Route Signing	4	
5C - Level of Service	0	
5D - Route Number	00000	
5E - Direction Suffix	0	
10L - Best 3m Unclr-Lt	0	0
10R - Best 3m Unclr-Rt	99	99
PR Number		
Control Section		
11 Mile Point		
12 Base Lisebusy Network	0	
12 - Dase Flighway Network	0	1000.00
13 - LRS Roule-Subioule	47	1600 62
19 - Detour Length	1/	
20 - Toll Facility	3	
26 - Functional Class	08	
28A - Lanes On	2	
29 - ADT	1276	
30 - Year of ADT	2020	
32 - Appr Roadway Width	29.9	
32A/B - Ap Pvt Type/Width	4	22.01
42A - Service Type On	1	
47L - Left Horizontal Clear	0.0	
47R - Right Horizontal Clear	34.6	
53 - Min Vert Clr Ov Deck	99	99
100 - STRAHNET	0	00
102 - Traffic Direct	2	
109 - Truck %	5	
110 Truck Notwork	0	
	1072	
	19/3	
TIS - Year Future ADT	2042	
Freeway	0	
Structure Apprai	sal	
36A - Bridge Railing	1	
36B - Rail Transition	1	
36C - Approach Rail	1	
36D - Rail Termination	1	
67 - Structure Evaluation	7	
68 Dock Coometry	6	
60 Underelearenee	N	
	0	
71 - Waterway Adequacy	8	
72 - Approach Alignment	8	
103 - Temporary Structure		
113 - Scour Criticality	3	
Miscellaneous	5	
37 - Historical Significance	4	
984 - Border Bridge State	-	
988 - Border Bridge %		
101 Dorollol Structure	N	
	IN	
Stay in Place Forms		
143 - Pin & Hanger Code	1	
148 - No. of Pin & Hangers		

	5A - Record Type		
	5B - Route Signing		
	5C - Level of Service		
	5D - Route Number		
	5E - Direction Suffix		
	101 - Best 3m Unclr-I t		
	10R - Best 3m Unclr-Rt		
	PR Number		
	Control Section		
	Control Section		
	11 - Mile Point		
	12 - Base Highway Network		
82	13 - LRS Route-Subroute		
	19 - Detour Length		
	20 - Toll Facility		
	26 - Functional Class		
	28B - Lanes Under		
	29 - ADT		
	30 - Year of ADT		
	42B - Service Type Under	5	
01	47L - Left Horizontal Clear		
	47R - Right Horizontal Clear		
	54A - Left Feature		
	54B - Left Underclearance	99	99
	54C - Right Feature	00	00
	54D - Right Clearance	aa	aa
	Linder Clearance Vear	55	55
	55A Deference Feature	N	
	55A - Relefence Feature		
		99.9	
	56 - Left Horiz Clearance		
	100 - STRAHNET		
	102 - Traffic Direct		
	109 - Truck %		
	110 - Truck Network		
	114 - Future ADT		
	115 - Year Future ADT		
	Freeway		
	Proposed Improve	ments	
	75 Type of Work		
	75 - Longth of Improvement		
	76 - Length of Improvement		
	94 - Bridge Cost	0	
	95 - Roadway Cost	0	
	96 - Total Cost	0	
	97 - Year of Cost Estimate	2008	
	Load Rating and P	osting	
	31 - Design Load	6	
	41 - Open Posted Closed	Δ	
	63 - Fed Oper Rtg Method	6	
	64E End Oper Ptg Load	2 16	
	64MA Mich Oper Rig Load	6	
	64MP Mich Oper Rig Method	2.05	
	CAMO Mich Oper Ktg	2.05	
	64IVIC - IVIICN Oper Truck		
	oo - Inv Ktg wiethod	6	
	66 - Inventory Load	1.75	
	70 - Posting	5	
	141 - Posted Loading		

193 - Overload Class

STR 9031				
Facility	Latitude / Longitude	MDOT Structure ID	Structure Condition	<u>e</u> r
OLD US-27	44.2095 / -84.7917	72200026000B010	Good Condition(7)	8
Feature	Length / Width / Spans	Owner		
WOLF CREEK	40 / 37.5 / 1	County: Roscommon(72)		
Location	Built / Recon. / Paint / Ovly.	TSC	Operational Status	
SECTION 15/16 T21N R4W	1935 / 2008 / /	Gaylord(27)	A Open, no restriction(A)	
Region / County	Material / Design	Last NBI Inspection	Scour Evaluation	
North(2) / Roscommon(72)	5 Prestressed Concrete / 05 Box Bm/Gird- Multiple	06/17/2020 / 335G	3 SC - Unstable	

WORK RECOMMENDATIONS

WORK RECOMMENDATIONS						
Inspector Name		Agency / Company Name	I	nsp. Freq.	Insp. Date	
James Brock		ROWE Professional Service	es Company	24	06/17/2020	
CRE	W RECOMMEN	DATIONS	CONTR		IMENDATIONS	
Recommendation Type	Priority	Description	Recommendation Type	Priority	Description	

STR 9031	LOAD RATING	ASSUMPTIONS	
Facility	Latitude / Longitude	MDOT Structure ID	Structure Condition
OLD US-27	44.2095 / -84.7917	72200026000B010	Good Condition(7)
Feature	Length / Width / Spans	Owner	
WOLF CREEK	40 / 37.5 / 1	County: Roscommon(72)	
Location	Built / Recon. / Paint / Ovly.	TSC	Operational Status
SECTION 15/16 T21N R4W	1935 / 2008 / /	Gaylord(27)	A Open, no restriction(A)
Region / County	Material / Design	Last NBI Inspection	Scour Evaluation
North(2) / Roscommon(72)	5 Prestressed Concrete / 05 Box Bm/Gird- Multiple	06/17/2020 / 335G	3 SC - Unstable
Rating Considers Field Condition	on of Members: Yes	Inspection Date: 06	5/17/2020
No deficiencies noted that would r	educe capacity		
Most Recent Year Construct / R	econstruct / Overlay: 2008		
History of Work Impacting Load	I Rating:		
Reconstructed			
Superstructure Component:	5 Prestressed Concrete	Beam fy: 270.0 ks	si Beam f'c / fb: 5.0 ksi
Composite:	Yes Number of Beams	: 12 Shop Dra	wings Verified: No
Beam Size(s) & Names (each span):	17x36 PSBB / 12 beams / 1 span		
Deck: Thickness (in.):	6.0 Fy / f'c: 60.0	/ 4.0 ksi De	ck Design Load > H15: Yes
Wearing Surface: Mat'l:	Concrete Th	nickness (in.): 6.0	Unit Weight (pcf.): 150.0
	LEFT	CENTER	RIGHT
Barrier: Type / Weight (plf.):	Concrete / 329.0	/	Concrete / 329.0
Sidewalk: Width / Thick (in.):	Falapet /	1	Гагарет /
Clear Roadway (ft.):	35.2		
Additional Loads:			
See Hand Calcs spreadsheet			
See Hand Calcs spreadsheet			
Unique Factors That Affect Cap	acity:		

STR 9031	LOA		SUMMARY	
Facility	Latitude / Longitu	de	MDOT Structure ID	Structure Condition
OLD US-27	44.2095 / -84.7917		72200026000B010	Good Condition(7)
Feature	Length / Width / S	pans	Owner	
WOLF CREEK	40 / 37.5 / 1		County: Roscommon(72)	
Location	Built / Recon. / Pair	nt / Ovly.	TSC	Operational Status
SECTION 15/16 T21N R	4W 1935 / 2008 /	/	Gaylord(27)	A Open, no restriction(A)
Region / County	Material / Design		Last NBI Inspection	Scour Evaluation
North(2) / Roscommon(72) 5 Prestressed Concr Box Bm/Gird- Multip	rete / 05 le	06/17/2020 / 335G	3 SC - Unstable
Compliance Issue:		None		
Compliance Verified:		No		
Analysis Program:		AASHTO	Ware Bridge Rating (BrR)	
Analysis Program Vers	ion:	6.8.4.300)1	
Rating Considers Field	Condition of Members:	Yes	Inspection Date:	06/17/2020
Controlling component	and failure mode:			
	DDING			
NBI Item 63 - Operating	Rating Method	6 L FR in	Rating Factor	
NBI Item 64F - Federal (Operating Ratings	3.16	Rating Factor	
MDOT Item 64MA - Micl	higan Operating Method	6 LFR in	Rating Factor	
MDOT Item 64MB - Micl	nigan Operating Rating	2.05		
MDOT Item 64MC - Micl	nigan Operating Truck	17		
NBI Item 65 - Inventory	Rating Method	6 LFR in	Rating Factor	
NBI Item 66 - Federal In	ventory Rating	1.75	J	
NBI Item 41 - Structure Open Posted Closed NBI Item 70 - Bridge Posting Posted By		A A Oper 5 5 - 100' No Postir	n, no restriction % or more ng	
MDOT Item 193A - Mich MDOT Item 193C - Over	igan Overload Class Ioad Status			
Analyzed By:	Jonathan Lidgard	Date:	08/17/2020	
Checked By:	Mike Soteropoulos	Date:	08/19/2020	

STR 9031 REQUEST FOR ACTION					
Facility	Latitude / Longitude	MDOT Structure ID	Structure Condition		
OLD US-27	44.2095 / -84.7917	72200026000B010	Good Condition(7)		
Feature	Length / Width / Spans	Owner			
WOLF CREEK	40 / 37.5 / 1	County: Roscommon(72)			
Location	Built / Recon. / Paint / Ovly.	TSC	Operational Status		
SECTION 15/16 T21N R4W	1935 / 2008 / /	Gaylord(27)	A Open, no restriction(A)		
Region / County	Material / Design	Last NBI Inspection	Scour Evaluation		
North(2) / Roscommon(72)	5 Prestressed Concrete / 05 Box Bm/Gird- Multiple	06/17/2020 / 335G	3 SC - Unstable		

No inspections available for bridge key 72200026000B010



Looking across Old US-27 Bridge



Fascia of Old US-27 Bridge





Joint at bridge approach



Adjacent box beams



Crack in abutment



Cracking in abutment and slopewall





	2022		E	RIDGE COST ESTIMATE WO	RKSHEET				REV. 02/09/2022
				- CPM, REHAB, REPLAC)E -			DATE:	3/18/2022
OWNER:	Roscommon County	FISCAL	/EAR: 2025		Out to Out	Curb to Curb	ENG	SINEER:	ANH
REGION:	North	PR: #N/A	MP· #N/A	40.0	37.5	35.1	STRUCT		9031
100.	Caylord		WII . #1070	-0.0	07.0	00.1	BRI	DGE ID:	N/A
	LOCATION:	OLD US-27	over WOLF CREEK						
PRIMA	OTHER WORK	Overlay - Epoxy		DECK AREA: CLEAR ROADWAY:	1,500 1,404	SET	SIR	R. TYPE:	Prestressed Concrete Box Beam or Girders - Mu
	o mentrona.			022,41110,121,111	1,101	0.1			
	WORK ACT	<u>IVITY</u>	MDOT Bridg	e Design Guides	QUANTITY	UNIT	UNIT CO	<u>ST</u>	TOTAL
NEW BRID	GE	(increa	se deck area based	on design standards and hydraulic requirements)		OFT	* 200.00	(0FT	1
Singl	le or Multiple Spans, Gr le Span, Over Water	ade Separation	(add demo 00ft (add demo	approach, MOT)		SET	\$330.00	/SET	
Multi	ple Spans, Over Water	Length > 1	00ft (add demo	approach, MOT)		SFT	\$330.00	/SFT	
Prec	ast Culvert	Length < 4	Oft (add demo	approach, MOT)		SFT	\$490.00	/SFT	
NEW SUPE	ERSTRUCTURE								
New	Superstructure, Grade	Separation	(incl. remove exis	t deck/super; add MOT & approach)		SFT	\$225.00	/SFT	
New	Superstructure, Over V	/ater	(incl. remove exis	t deck/super; add MOT & approach)		SFT	\$225.00	/SFT	
WIDENING								(0.555	1
Struc	cture Widening, f	t	(Incl. deck/super/	sub widening, add approach transition)		SEI	\$550.00	/SFT	<u> </u>
NEW DECH	<u>(</u>		<u> </u>			057	.	(0FT	1
New	Bridge Deck & Barrier		(incl. remove exis	t deck/railing, add approach, MOT)		SEI	\$120.00	/SFT	
DEMOLITIC	ON							(0.55	1
Entin	e Structure, Grade Sep e Structure, Over Wate	aration r				SET	\$65.00	/SFT	
						3FT	00.00	/3/1	
DECK REP Bride	AIR / IREATMENTS		(incl. removal and	replacement		FT	00 0032	/FT	
Conc	crete Brush Block / Curt	Patch	(incl. hand chippi	ng and formwork)		FT	\$25.00	/FT	
Conc	crete Barrier Patch	, r alon	(incl. hand chippi	ng and formwork)		SFT	\$76.00	/SFT	
Cond	crete Deck Patch		(incl. hand chippi	ng)		SFT	\$63.00	/SFT	
Deep	Overlay		(incl. joint repl &	nydro)		SFT	\$43.00	/SFT	
Epox	y Overlay		(incl. warranty)		240.0	SYD	\$39.00	/SYD	\$9,360
Expa	ansion Joint Gland Repl ansion, Joint Replaceme	acement	(remove and repl	ace elastomenc gland)		FI	\$115.00	/F1 /FT	
Full [Depth Patch		(incl. removal)			SFT	\$130.00	/SFT	
Heal	er / Sealer		(penetrates crack	s in bridge deck)		SYD	\$16.00	/SYD	
HMA	Overlay with WP mem	brane				SYD	\$60.00	/SYD	
Over	lay Removal		(Epoxy: \$22/syd	Latex: \$26/syd HMA: \$7/syd)		SYD	\$22.00	/SYD	
Rese	eal Bridge Joints		(incl. joint ropl 8	avdro)	75.0	FI SET	\$25.00	/F /9ET	\$1,875
<u>Snan</u>			(Inci. joint repi &	iyalo)		551	540.00	/361	
SUPERST			<i>«</i>			= 1		F 4	
Bear	ng Realignment / Repla	acement	(incl. temporary s	upports)		EA	\$5,700.00	EA	
Pack	Rust Renair		(incl. clean and c	Jal)		FT	\$850.00	/FT	
Paint	t - Complete		(incl. clean & coa	t)		SFT	\$30.00	/SFT	
Paint	t - Partial / Spot / Zone		(incl. clean & coa	t - \$20k minimum)		SFT	\$60.00	/SFT	
PCI I	Beam End Blockout		(incl. temporary s	upports)		EA	\$7,200.00	EA	
Pin 8	Hanger Replacement		(incl. temporary s	upports)		EA	\$13,000.00	EA	
Struc	ctural Steel Repair	Ctiffonor	(based on 6tt rep	air length)		EA	\$3,400.00	EA	
		Suiteriei	(includes each si	de of beam)		EA	\$1,350.00	EA	
SUBSTRU			(OFT	¢000.00	/0FT	1
Subs	structure Patching		(incl_temporary s	upports_excavation)		CFT	\$330.00	/CFT	
Subs	structure Horizontal Sur	face Sealer	(incl. temporary c			SYD	\$75.00	/SYD	
Tem	porary Supports		(add Structural S	teel Repair - Stiffener for ea steel beam)		EA	\$3,000.00	EA	
MISCELLA	NEOUS								
Artic	ulating Concrete Block	System (ACB)				SYD	\$280.00	/SYD	
Conc	crete Surface Coating					SYD	\$32.00	/SYD	
Culve	ert Cleanout					FT	\$125.00	/FT	
Epox Moto	y Crack Injection		(structural crack	epair)	100.0	FI	\$70.00	/F /9ET	\$7,000
Pres	sure Relief Joint		(use when appro	ach concrete roadway exceeds 1 000ft)		FT	\$110.00	/FT	
Ripra	ар		(assume 10ft dis	ance around perimeter of substructure)	160.0	SYD	\$223.00	/SYD	\$35,680
Silan	e Treatment		(penetrating seal	er for concrete surfaces)	600.0	SFT	\$7.00	/SFT	\$4,200
Slope	e Protection Repairs					SYD	\$145.00	/SYD	
Othe	ſ						<u> </u>		
					STRUCTUR		UCTION BU	DGET	\$58,115
ROAD WO	RK								
Appr	oach Pavement, 12" RO	2	(incl. removal; ad	d curb, gutter, guardrail) 40' ea. end		SYD	\$200.00	/SYD	
Appr	oach Curb & Gutter		(incl. removal) 4)' ea. quadrant		FT	\$57.00	/FT	
Guar	rdrail Anchorage to Brid	ge	(each quadrant)			EA	\$2,320.00	/EA	
Guar	drail		(incl. removal) <	200ft beyond reference line		FT	\$34.00	/FT	
Boar	drail Terminal		(each quadrant)	h pavement)			\$3,900.00		
Utiliti	es					LSUM	++	LSUM	
	ONTROL	Unit Cost to be determin	ed by Region or T	SC Traffic & Safety					
Part	Width Construction		ca by negion of T	se mano a baroty	1.0	LSUM	\$20.000.00	LSUM	\$20,000
Cros	sovers					EA		/EA	\$23,300
Tem	porary Traffic Signals					set		/set	
RRF	lagging					LSUM	<u> </u>	LSUM	
Deto	ui				1	LSUM		LOUM	1
				RELATED R	OAD/TRAFF	IC CONSTR	UCTION BU	DGET	\$20,000
CONTING	NCY	(10% - 20%) (use higher	contingency for a	mall projects)	20	%	\$78.000	00	\$16,000
MOBILIZAT	ΓΙΟΝ	(estimate at 10%)	- shangonoy ior a		10	%	\$94.000	00	\$9,000
INFLATION	1	(assume 4% per year, be	eginning in 2023)		12	%	\$103,000	.00	\$12,000
				(Does not include PE or CE)	тот	AL CONSTR	UCTION BU	DGET	\$115,000
		(B. 4.)						DUDOFT	6445 000

programming gu nes in Bridge Cost Esti ating Work Key for CE,PE & PI

% CE % PE % PE CON BUDGET PE BUDGET PE-S BUDGET

\$0 \$0

RESOLUTION FOR THE OLD US 27 BRIDGE PREVENTIVE MAINTENANCE THROUGH THE LOCAL BRIDGE PROGRAM

On a motion duly made by Commissioner Vaughn seconded by Commissioner Wykoff and passed with 5Ayes and 0 Nays, it was moved to adopt the following resolution:

WHERE AS, the condition of the bridge listed below have deteriorated to such an extent that preventive maintenance is necessary and

WHERE AS, the budget of the Roscommon County Road Commission will not allow preventive maintenance of this bridge without additional funds from other sources.

THEREFORE BE IT NOW RESOLVED that the Roscommon County Road Commission request local bridge program funds for preventive maintenance of the Old US 27 over the Wolf Creek Bridge for the year 2025.

I hereby certify the above is a true and correct copy of a resolution unanimously adopted by the Roscommon County Road Commission at a meeting held on March 10, 2022.

ATTEST:

Stefanie Simmons Board Clerk

Dated: 3-11-2022

Drafted by:

Stefanie Simmons Roscommon County Road Commission 820 E. West Branch Road Prudenville, MI 48651

STEFANIE E. SIMMONS NOTARY PUBLIC, STATE OF MICHIGAN COUNTY OF ROSCOMMON MY COMMISSION EXPIRES MAY 25, 2024 ACTING IN THE COUNTY OF ROSCOMMON Subscribed and sworn to before me on the above date:

leta 2 mune

Notary/Public, Roscommon, Michigan My Commission Expires: May 25, 2024

STR 9030	BRIDGE SAFETY INS			
Facility	Latitude / Longitude	MDOT Structure ID	Structure Condition	Ł
KENO ROAD (CR 602)	44.4813 / -84.451	72200019000B010	Fair Condition(6)	F
Feature	Length / Width / Spans	Owner		
S BR AU SABLE RIVER	45.9 / 33.5 / 1	County: Roscommon(72)		
Location	Built / Recon. / Paint / Ovly.	TSC	Operational Status	
SECTION 8&9 T24N R1W	1976 / / /	Gaylord(27)	A Open, no restriction(A)	
Region / County	Material / Design	Last NBI Inspection	Scour Evaluation	
North(2) / Roscommon(72)	1 Concrete / 05 Box Bm/Gird- Multiple	06/22/2022 / 7VC7	5 Stable w/in footing	

NBI INSPECTION				
Inspector Name	Agency / Company Name	Insp. Freq.	Insp. Date	
James Brock	ROWE Professional Services Company	24	06/22/2022	
GENERAL NOTES				

Assisted By: Abby Righter Established directions: Keno Rd N/S, S Br Au Sable River E/W

DECK

	06/18	06/20	06/22	
1. Surface (SIA-58A)	7	7	7	Chip sealed HMA. No deficiencies. (06/22) Chip sealed HMA. No deficiencies. (06/20) Chip sealed HMA. No deficiencies. (06/18)
2. Expansion Joints	Ν	Ν	Ν	Reference line joints are paved over. Reflective cracking up to 1/4" wide above joints. (06/22) Ref line joints are paved over. Reflective cracking above joints. (06/20) Ref line joints are paved over. Reflective cracking above joints. (06/18)
3. Other Joints	Ν	Ν	Ν	(06/22) (06/20) (06/18)
4. Railings	6	6	6	Double B guardrail with wood blockouts on steel posts which are bolted to fascia beams. Impact in NW quadrant approach rail. (06/22) Double B guardrail with wood blockouts on steel posts which are bolted to fascia beams. Impact in NW quadrant approach rail. (06/20) Double B guardrail on steel posts which are bolted to fascia beams. Impact in NW quadrant approach rail. (06/18)
5. Sidewalks or Curbs	Ν	Ν	Ν	(06/22) (06/20) (06/18)
6. Deck Bottom Surface (SIA-58B)	Ν	Ν	N	Adjacent concrete box beams. (06/22) Adjacent box beams. (06/20) Adjacent box beams. (06/18)
7. Deck (SIA-58)	7	7	6	Non-structural surface. Beams act as deck. Rating based on stringer conditions. Cracks in beams 1w and 11w. Top surface is chip sealed with no deficiencies. (06/22) Non-structural surface. Beams act as deck. Rating based on stringer conditions. Cracks in beams 1w and 11w. Top surface is chip sealed with no deficiencies. (06/20) Non-structural surface. Beams act as deck. Rating based on stringer conditions. Cracks in beams 1w and 11w. Top surface is chip sealed with no deficiencies. (06/20) Non-structural surface. Beams act as deck. Rating based on stringer conditions. Cracks in beams 1w and 11w. Top surface is chip sealed with no deficiencies. (06/18)
8. Drainage				Off bridge (06/22) Off bridge (06/20) Off bridge (06/18)

SUPERSTRUCTURE

06/18 06/20 06/22

STR 9030				BRIDGE SAFETY INS	PECTION REPORT	
Facility KENO ROAD (CR 6	602)		Latitu 44.48	u de / Longitude 13 / -84.451	MDOT Structure ID 72200019000B010	Structure Condition Fair Condition(6)
Feature			Leng	th / Width / Spans	Owner	
S BR AU SABLE RI	VER		45.9	/ 33.5 / 1	County: Roscommon(72)	
Location			Built	/ Recon. / Paint / Ovly.	TSC	Operational Status
SECTION 8&9 T24	NR1W		1976	/ / /	Gaylord(27)	A Open, no restriction(A)
Region / County			Mate	rial / Design	Last NBI Inspection	Scour Evaluation
North(2) / Roscom	mon(72)		1 Cor Multip	ncrete / 05 Box Bm/Gird- ble	06/22/2022 / 7VC7	5 Stable w/in footing
9. Stringer (SIA-59)	7	7	6	(11) Adjacent concrete pre exhibits (2) 5 ft long longit 11w has horizontal crackir staining and efflorescence (11) Adjacent concrete pre has 2 5 ft long longitudinal horizontal cracking in fasc efflorescence. (06/20) 11 adjacent box beams. N longitudinal cracks with eff cracking in fascia and 1 lo efflorescence. (06/18)	estressed box beams. No le udinal cracks with effloresce ng in fascia and 1 longitudin A. (06/22) estressed box beams. No le I cracks with efflorescence a ia and 1 longitudinal crack a lo leaking visible between b florescence at guardrail pos ingitudinal crack at south ab	aking visible between beams. Beam 1w ence at guardrail post 4 north. Beam al crack at south abutment with rust aking visible between beams. Beam 1w at guardrail post 4 north. Beam 11w has at south abutment with rust staining and eams. Beam 1w has 2 5 ft long t 4 north. Beam 11w has horizontal utment with rust staining and
10. Paint (SIA-59A)	Ν	Ν	Ν	(06/22) (06/20) (06/18)		
11. Section Loss	Ν	3	3	HL cracking along beams None noted. (06/20) (06/18)	1W and 11W. (06/22)	
12. Bearings	8	8	8	Elastomeric bearings. Not Elastomeric bearings. Not Elastomeric bearings. Not	visible, but functioning. (06 visible, but functioning. (06 visible, but functioning. (06	/22) /20) /18)
SUBSTRUCTURE	E					
	06/18	06/20	06/22			
13. Abutments (SIA-60)	6	6	6	Concrete cantilever abutm abutments on both sides. Hairline crack in new secti horizontal crack 3" below 1 backwalls. (06/22) Concrete cantilever abutm abutments on both sides. Hairline crack in new secti horizontal crack 3" below 1 Concrete abutments. Abut both sides. NW abutment in new section of north ab below beam seat under be	nents. Abutments have beer NW abutment is deterioratir ion of north abutment under beam seat under beam 11w nents. Abutments have beer NW abutment is deterioratir ion of north abutment under beam seat under beam 11w tments have been widened. is deteriorating with map cra utment under Beam 2. Sout eam 11w. Some cracking in	widened. Vertical cracks in original og with map cracking and efflorescence. Beam 2W. South abutment has a 2 ft oup to 1/16" wide. Some cracking in widened. Vertical cracks in original og with map cracking and efflorescence. Beam 2. South abutment has a 2 ft Some cracking in backwalls. (06/20) Vertical cracks in original abutments on acking and efflorescence. Hairline crack h abutment has a 2 ft horizontal crack 3" backwalls. (06/18)
14. Piers (SIA-60)	Ν	Ν	Ν	(06/22) (06/20) (06/18)		
15. Slope Protection	6	N	Ν	N/A. Bridge over water. (0 N/A. Bridge over water. (0 Slope paving in upstream paving. (06/18)	6/22) 6/20) side with widened portion o	f abutment. Crack in the north slope
16. Channel (SIA-61)	6	6	6	Meandering away from str Water against both abutm Meandering away from str Water against both abutm Meandering away from str Water against both abutm	ructure. Channel is wider at ents. Stable banks, floodpla ructure. Channel is wider at ents. Stable banks, floodpla ructure. Channel is wider at ents. Stable banks, floodpla	structure than away from structure. in. (06/22) structure than away from structure. in. (06/20) structure than away from structure. in. (06/18)

STR 9030 BRIDGE SAFETY INSPECTION REPORT						
Facility	Latitude / Longitude	MDOT Structure ID	Structure Condition	1		
KENO ROAD (CR 602)	44.4813 / -84.451	72200019000B010	Fair Condition(6)			
Feature	Length / Width / Spans	Owner				
S BR AU SABLE RIVER	45.9 / 33.5 / 1	County: Roscommon(72)				
Location	Built / Recon. / Paint / Ovly.	TSC	Operational Status			
SECTION 8&9 T24N R1W	1976 / / /	Gaylord(27)	A Open, no restriction(A)			
Region / County	Material / Design	Last NBI Inspection	Scour Evaluation			
North(2) / Roscommon(72)	1 Concrete / 05 Box Bm/Gird- Multiple	06/22/2022 / 7VC7	5 Stable w/in footing			

APPROACH

(06/18	06/20	06/22				
18. Approach Pavement	6	6	6	Chip sealed HMA appro center of both lanes (06 Chip sealed HMA appro center of both lanes (06 Chip sealed HMA appro center of both lanes (06	ach pavement. Settlement at /22) ach pavement. Settlement at /20) ach pavement. Settlement at /18)	t south approach is 1/2". Bare asphalt i t south approach is 1/2". Bare asphalt i t south approach is 1/2". Bare asphalt i	n n n
19. Approach Shoulders Sidewalks	6	6	6	Chip sealed HMA shoul deficiencies. (06/22) Chip sealed HMA shoul deficiencies. (06/20) Chip sealed HMA shoul deficiencies. (06/18)	ders near bridge. Settlement ders near bridge. Settlement ders near bridge. Settlement	at south ref line is 1/2". No further at south ref line is 1/2". No further at south ref line is 1/2". No further	
20. Approach Slopes				Well vegetated stable a Well vegetated. (06/20) Well vegetated. (06/18)	pproach slopes. (06/22)		
21. Utilities				OHE on west side of str OHE on west side of str OHE on west side of str	ucture. (06/22) ucture. (06/20) ucture. (06/18)		
22. Drainage Culverts				None noted. (06/22) (06/20) (06/18)			
MISCELLANEOUS							
Guard Rail					Other Items		
Item			Ratin	<u>ig</u>	Item	Rating	
36A. Bridge Railings			0		71. Water Adequacy	9	
36B. Transitions			0		72. Approach Alignment	9	
36C. Approach Guardra	ail		1		Temporary Support	0 No Temporary Supports	
36D. Approach Guardra	ail En	ds	1		High Load Hit (M)	No	
					Special Insp. Equipment	2	
	_		•			ľ	
False Decking (Timber)) Rem	oved t	o Com	plete inspection	N/A - No False Decking		
Critical Feature Inspe	ectio	ns (SI	A-92)				
92A. Fracture Critical			Freq	Date			

92B. Underwater 92C. Other Special 92D. Fatigue Sensitive

STR 9030	BRIDGE SAFETY INS			
Facility	Latitude / Longitude	MDOT Structure ID	Structure Condition	1
KENO ROAD (CR 602)	44.4813 / -84.451	72200019000B010	Fair Condition(6)	
Feature	Length / Width / Spans	Owner		
S BR AU SABLE RIVER	45.9 / 33.5 / 1	County: Roscommon(72)		
Location	Built / Recon. / Paint / Ovly.	TSC	Operational Status	
SECTION 8&9 T24N R1W	1976 / / /	Gaylord(27)	A Open, no restriction(A)	

SUPPORTING IMAGES

North(2) / Roscommon(72)

Region / County



Material / Design

1 Concrete / 05 Box Bm/Gird-Multiple

Document Name: IMG_2479.jpg Category: Elevation Span Number: Comments: East elevation



Document Name: IMG_2466.jpg Category: Deck Span Number: Comments: Looking north over structure



Scour Evaluation

5 Stable w/in footing

Document Name: IMG_2481.jpg Category: Elevation Span Number: Comments: West elevation

Last NBI Inspection

06/22/2022 / 7VC7



Document Name: IMG_2470.jpg Category: Deck Span Number: Comments: Looking south over structure

STR 9030	BRIDGE SAFETY INS			
Facility	Latitude / Longitude	MDOT Structure ID	Structure Condition	
KENO ROAD (CR 602)	44.4813 / -84.451	72200019000B010	Fair Condition(6)	
Feature	Length / Width / Spans	Owner		
S BR AU SABLE RIVER	45.9 / 33.5 / 1	County: Roscommon(72)		
Location	Built / Recon. / Paint / Ovly.	TSC	Operational Status	
SECTION 8&9 T24N R1W	1976 / / /	Gaylord(27)	A Open, no restriction(A)	
Region / County	Material / Design	Last NBI Inspection	Scour Evaluation	
North(2) / Roscommon(72)	1 Concrete / 05 Box Bm/Gird- Multiple	06/22/2022 / 7VC7	5 Stable w/in footing	



Document Name: IMG_2469.jpg Category: Joints Span Number: Comments: South reference line



Document Name: IMG_2472.jpg Category: Superstructure Span Number: Comments: Precast beams



Document Name: IMG_2471.jpg Category: Joints Span Number: Comments: North reference line



Document Name: IMG_2473.jpg Category: Superstructure Span Number: Comments: Longitudinal cracking with efflorescence along beam 1W

STR 9030

Facility KENO ROAD (CR 602) Feature S BR AU SABLE RIVER Location SECTION 8&9 T24N R1W Region / County North(2) / Roscommon(72)

BRIDGE SAFETY INSPECTION REPORT

Latitude / Longitude 44.4813 / -84.451 Length / Width / Spans 45.9 / 33.5 / 1 Built / Recon. / Paint / Ovly. 1976 / / / Material / Design 1 Concrete / 05 Box Bm/Gird-Multiple MDOT Structure ID 72200019000B010 Owner County: Roscommon(72) TSC Gaylord(27) Last NBI Inspection 06/22/2022 / 7VC7 Structure Condition Fair Condition(6)



Operational Status A Open, no restriction(A) Scour Evaluation 5 Stable w/in footing



Document Name: IMG_2477.jpg Category: Superstructure Span Number: Comments: Prestressed concrete box beams



Document Name: IMG_2475.jpg Category: Substructure Span Number: Comments: South abutment



Document Name: IMG_2478.jpg Category: Superstructure Span Number: Comments: Prestressed concrete box beams



Document Name: IMG_2467.jpg Category: Channel Span Number: Comments: Looking east off structure

STR 9030	BRIDGE SAFETY INS			
Facility	Latitude / Longitude	MDOT Structure ID	Structure Condition	
KENO ROAD (CR 602)	44.4813 / -84.451	72200019000B010	Fair Condition(6)	
Feature	Length / Width / Spans	Owner		
S BR AU SABLE RIVER	45.9 / 33.5 / 1	County: Roscommon(72)		
Location	Built / Recon. / Paint / Ovly.	TSC	Operational Status	
SECTION 8&9 T24N R1W	1976 / / /	Gaylord(27)	A Open, no restriction(A)	
Region / County	Material / Design	Last NBI Inspection	Scour Evaluation	
North(2) / Roscommon(72)	1 Concrete / 05 Box Bm/Gird- Multiple	06/22/2022 / 7VC7	5 Stable w/in footing	



Document Name: IMG_2468.jpg Category: Channel Span Number: Comments: Looking west off structure

STR 9031	BRIDGE SAFETY INS	SPECTION REPORT		
Facility	Latitude / Longitude	MDOT Structure ID	Structure Condition	<u>e</u> r
OLD US-27	44.2095 / -84.7917	72200026000B010	Good Condition(7)	
Feature	Length / Width / Spans	Owner		
WOLF CREEK	40 / 37.5 / 1	County: Roscommon(72)		
Location	Built / Recon. / Paint / Ovly.	TSC	Operational Status	
SECTION 15/16 T21N R4W	1935 / 2008 / /	Gaylord(27)	A Open, no restriction(A)	
Region / County	Material / Design	Last NBI Inspection	Scour Evaluation	
North(2) / Roscommon(72)	5 Prestressed Concrete / 05 Box Bm/Gird- Multiple	06/21/2022 / OW6W	3 SC - Unstable	

NBI INSPECTION			OW6W
Inspector Name	Agency / Company Name	Insp. Freq.	Insp. Date
James Brock	ROWE Professional Services Company	24	06/21/2022
CENERAL NOTES			

GENERAL NOTES

Assisted By: Abby Righter Established directions: Old US-27 N/S, Wolf Creek E/W

DECK

	06/18	06/20	06/22	
1. Surface (SIA-58A)	7	7	7	Concrete deck. 3 diagonal crack along north ref line. Four 11' perpendicular cracks to south ref line at centerline. (06/22) Concrete deck. 3 diagonal crack along north ref line. Four 11' perpendicular cracks to south ref line at centerline. (06/20) Concrete deck. 3 diagonal crack along north ref line. Four 11' perpendicular cracks to south ref line at centerline. (06/20)
2. Expansion Joints	7	7	6	HPR sealed joints at reference lines. Debris filled in corners. 5' feet of backer rod exposed at N. ref line and 4' exposed along S. ref line. (06/22) HPR sealed joints at reference lines. Debris filled in corners. 2' feet of backer rod exposed at N. ref line. (06/20) Sealed joints at reference lines. Debris filled in corners (06/18)
3. Other Joints	Ν	Ν	Ν	(06/22) (06/20) (06/18)
4. Railings	8	8	8	Concrete open parapet railing. Scrapes along both rails. T3 mod transitions, type B rail and approach endings. Rating is based on open parapet railing only. (06/22) Concrete open parapet railing. Scrapes along both rails. T3 mod transitions, type B rail and approach endings. Rating is based on open parapet railing only. (06/20) Concrete open parapet railing. Scrapes along both rails. T3 mod transitions, type B rail and approach endings. Rating is based on open parapet railing only. (06/20) Concrete open parapet railing. Scrapes along both rails. T3 mod transitions, type B rail and approach endings. Rating is based on open parapet railing only. (06/18)
5. Sidewalks or Curbs	Ν	Ν	Ν	(06/22) (06/20) (06/18)
6. Deck Bottom Surface (SIA-58B)	N	N	N	Adjacent concrete box beams. (06/22) Adjacent box beams. (06/20) Adjacent box beams. (06/18)
7. Deck (SIA-58)	8	7	7	Surface: Concrete deck. 3 diagonal crack along north ref line. Four 11' perpendicular cracks to south ref line at centerline. Bottom: Adjacent box beams. (06/22) Surface: Concrete deck. 3 diagonal crack along north ref line. Four 11' perpendicular cracks to south ref line at centerline. Bottom: Adjacent box beams. (06/20) Surface: Concrete deck. 3 diagonal crack along north ref line. Four 11' perpendicular cracks to south ref line at centerline. Bottom: Adjacent box beams. (06/20) Surface: Concrete deck. 3 diagonal crack along north ref line. Four 11' perpendicular cracks to south ref line at centerline. Bottom: Adjacent box beams. (06/18)
8. Drainage				Off bridge (06/22) Off bridge (06/20) Off bridge (06/18)

SUPERSTRUCTURE

STR 9031				BRIDGE SAFETY INSP	PECTION REPORT	
Facility OLD US-27 Feature WOLF CREEK Location SECTION 15/16 T21 Region / County North(2) / Roscomr	IN R4W mon(72)		Latitu 44.20 Leng 40 / Built 1935 Mater 5 Pres	ide / Longitude 95 / -84.7917 th / Width / Spans 37.5 / 1 / Recon. / Paint / Ovly. / 2008 / / fial / Design stressed Concrete / 05	MDOT Structure ID 72200026000B010 Owner County: Roscommon(72) TSC Gaylord(27) Last NBI Inspection 06/21/2022 / OW6W	Structure Condition Good Condition(7) Operational Status A Open, no restriction(A) Scour Evaluation 3 SC - Unstable
			Box E	m/Gird- Multiple		
9. Stringer (SIA-59)	06/18 8	06/20 8	06/22 8	 (12) adjacent concrete pres beams 2/3w has spalled 6" (12) adjacent concrete pres beams 2/3w has spalled 6" 12 adjacent box beams. Ef spalled 6" at porth abuttment 	stressed box beams. Efflore at north abutment. (06/22) stressed box beams. Efflore at north abutment. (06/20) florescence at PT pockets. (06/18)	scence at PT pockets. Grout between scence at PT pockets. Grout between Grout in joint b/n beams 2/3w has
10. Paint (SIA-59A)	Ν	N	N	(06/22) (06/20) (06/18)		
11. Section Loss	Ν	3	3	None noted. (06/22) None noted. (06/20) (06/18)		
12. Bearings	8	8	8	Elastomeric bearing pads. Elastomeric bearing pads. Elastomeric bearing pads.	No deficiencies. (06/22) No deficiencies. (06/20) No deficiencies. (06/18)	
SUBSTRUCTURE	E					
	06/18	06/20	06/22			
13. Abutments (SIA-60)	7	7	7	Original concrete cantilevel has 4 hairline vertical crack up to 1/4" wide in original a Map cracking with effloresc Original concrete cantilevel hairline vertical cracks. Sou 1/4" wide in original abutmet cracking with efflorescence Original concrete abutment cracks. South beam seat h original abutments. Map cra (06/18)	r abutments with newer com s. South beam seat has 6 h butments. 1/2 inch wide cra cence in original abutments r abutments have newer bea uth beam seat has 6 hairline ents. 1/2 inch wide crack un- in original abutments outsid ts have new beam seats. No as 6 hairline vertical cracks. acking with efflorescence in	crete beam seats. North beam seat vairline vertical cracks. Vertical cracks ck under beam 4w at north abutment. outside of beams. (06/22) am seats. North beam seat has 4 vertical cracks. Vertical cracks up to der beam 4w at north abutment. Map de of beams. (06/20) orth beam seat has 4 hairline vertical Vertical cracks up to 1/4" wide in original abutments outside of beams.
14. Piers (SIA-60)	Ν	Ν	Ν	(06/22) (06/20) (06/18)		
15. Slope Protection	6	N	Ν	N/A. Bridge over water. (06 N/A. Bridge over water. (06 Riprap on all 4 quadrants, b (06/18)	5/22) 5/20) but not in front of existing ab	outments which are on spread footings.
16. Channel (SIA-61)	7	7	7	Riprap at ends of structure. Water flows wall to wall. (0 Riprap at ends of structure. Water flows wall to wall. (0 Riprap at ends of structure. Water flows wall to wall. (0	. Soft bottom. Debris upstrea 6/22) . Soft bottom. Debris upstrea 6/20) . Soft bottom. Debris upstrea 6/18)	am and downstream. Stable banks. am and downstream. Stable banks. am and downstream. Stable banks.

7. Scour 7 7 7 7 nspection	Probed, no scour. Water wall to wall. (06/22) Probed, no scour. Water wall to wall. (06/20) Probed, no scour. Water wall to wall. (06/18)
-----------------------------------	---

APPROACH

06/18 06/20 06/22

STR 9031				BRIDGE SAFETY IN	SPECTION REPORT	
Facility			Latit	ude / Longitude	MDOT Structure ID	Structure Condition
OLD US-27			44.2	095 / -84.7917	72200026000B010	Good Condition(7)
Feature			Leng	gth / Width / Spans	Owner	
WOLF CREEK			40 /	37.5 / 1	County: Roscommon(72))
Location			Built	t / Recon. / Paint / Ovly.	TSC	Operational Status
SECTION 15/16 T21	N R4W		1935	5 / 2008 / /	Gaylord(27)	A Open, no restriction(A)
Region / County			Mate	erial / Design	Last NBI Inspection	Scour Evaluation
North(2) / Roscomm	ion(72)		5 Pre Box	estressed Concrete / 05 Bm/Gird- Multiple	06/21/2022 / OW6W	3 SC - Unstable
18. Approach Pavement	8	8	8	Concrete approach slabs deficiencies noted. (06/22 Concrete approach slabs deficiencies noted. (06/20 Concrete approach slabs deficiencies noted. (06/18	with HMA beyond. HPR set 2) with HMA beyond. Sealed I 0) with HMA beyond. Sealed I 3)	aled longitudinal joint at centerline. No longitudinal joint at centerline. No longitudinal joint at centerline. No
19. Approach Shoulders Sidewalks	8	8	8	Concrete shoulders in ap Concrete shoulders in ap Concrete shoulders in ap	proach slabs, HMA/gravel b proach slabs, HMA/gravel b proach slabs, HMA/gravel b	beyond. Well graded. (06/22) beyond. Well graded. (06/20) beyond. Well graded. (06/18)
20. Approach Slopes				Stable well vegetated slo Well vegetated. (06/20) Well vegetated. (06/18)	pes. (06/22)	
21. Utilities				None noted. (06/22) None noted. (06/20) None noted. (06/18)		
22. Drainage Culverts				None Noted (06/22) None Noted (06/20) None Noted (06/18)		
MISCELLANEOUS	5					
Guard Rail					Other Items	
Item			Rat	ing	ltem	Rating

Item	Rating	Item	Rating
36A. Bridge Railings	1	71. Water Adequacy	8
36B. Transitions	1	72. Approach Alignment	8
36C. Approach Guardrail	1	Temporary Support	0 No Temporary Supports
36D. Approach Guardrail Ends	1	High Load Hit (M)	No
		Special Insp. Equipment	1
		Underwater Insp. Method	2

False Decking (Timber) Removed to Complete Inspection

Critical Feature Inspections (SIA-92)

Freq Date

92A. Fracture Critical

92B. Underwater

92C. Other Special 92D. Fatigue Sensitive N/A - No False Decking

STR 9031	BRIDGE SAFETY INS	SPECTION REPORT		
Facility	Latitude / Longitude	MDOT Structure ID	Structure Condition	
OLD US-27	44.2095 / -84.7917	72200026000B010	Good Condition(7)	
Feature	Length / Width / Spans	Owner		
WOLF CREEK	40 / 37.5 / 1	County: Roscommon(72)		
Location	Built / Recon. / Paint / Ovly.	TSC	Operational Status	
SECTION 15/16 T21N R4W	1935 / 2008 / /	Gaylord(27)	A Open, no restriction(A)	
Region / County	Material / Design	Last NBI Inspection	Scour Evaluation	
North(2) / Roscommon(72)	5 Prestressed Concrete / 05 Box Bm/Gird- Multiple	06/21/2022 / OW6W	3 SC - Unstable	

SUPPORTING IMAGES



Document Name: IMG_2570.jpg Category: Elevation Span Number: Comments: West elevation



Document Name: IMG_2562.jpg Category: Deck Span Number: Comments: Looking north over structure



Document Name: IMG_2574.jpg Category: Elevation Span Number: Comments: East elevation



Document Name: IMG_2568.jpg Category: Deck Span Number: Comments: Looking south over structure

STR 9031	BRIDGE SAFETY IN	SPECTION REPORT		
Facility	Latitude / Longitude	MDOT Structure ID	Structure Condition	-
OLD US-27	44.2095 / -84.7917	72200026000B010	Good Condition(7)	
Feature	Length / Width / Spans	Owner		
WOLF CREEK	40 / 37.5 / 1	County: Roscommon(72)	
Location	Built / Recon. / Paint / Ovly.	TSC	Operational Status	
SECTION 15/16 T21N R4W	1935 / 2008 / /	Gaylord(27)	A Open, no restriction(A)	
Region / County	Material / Design	Last NBI Inspection	Scour Evaluation	
North(2) / Roscommon(72)	5 Prestressed Concrete / 05 Box Bm/Gird- Multiple	06/21/2022 / OW6W	3 SC - Unstable	



Document Name: IMG_2564.jpg Category: Joints Span Number: Comments: South reference line joint



Document Name: IMG_2571.jpg Category: Superstructure Span Number: Comments: Prestressed concrete box beams



Document Name: IMG_2566.jpg Category: Joints Span Number: Comments: North reference line joint



Document Name: IMG_2575.jpg Category: Superstructure Span Number: Comments: Prestressed concrete box beams

STR 9031	BRIDGE SAFETY INS	SPECTION REPORT		
Facility	Latitude / Longitude	MDOT Structure ID	Structure Condition	
OLD US-27	44.2095 / -84.7917	72200026000B010	Good Condition(7)	
Feature	Length / Width / Spans	Owner		
WOLF CREEK	40 / 37.5 / 1	County: Roscommon(72)		
Location	Built / Recon. / Paint / Ovly.	TSC	Operational Status	
SECTION 15/16 T21N R4W	1935 / 2008 / /	Gaylord(27)	A Open, no restriction(A)	
Region / County	Material / Design	Last NBI Inspection	Scour Evaluation	
North(2) / Roscommon(72)	5 Prestressed Concrete / 05 Box Bm/Gird- Multiple	06/21/2022 / OW6W	3 SC - Unstable	



Document Name: IMG_2573.jpg Category: Substructure Span Number: Comments: South abutment



Document Name: IMG_2565.jpg Category: Channel Span Number: Comments: Looking west off structure



Document Name: IMG_2569.jpg Category: Channel Span Number: Comments: Looking east off structure



R. S. SCOTT ASSOCIATES, INC. ENGINEERING · ARCHITECTURE · SURVEYING

(989) 354-3178

405 RIVER STREET

OLD US-27 OVER WOLF CREEK ALPENA, MICHIGAN 49707 ROSCOMMON TOWNSHIP SECTIONS 15 & 16, T21N - R4W

TITLE S

GENERAL NOTES

THE MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION 2003 STANDARD SPECIFICATIONS FOR CONSTRUCTION, AS MODIFIED BY THE PROPOSAL, SUPPLEMENTAL SPECIFICATIONS AND THE PLANS HEREIN, IS INCORPORATED INTO THIS CONTRACT,

EXCEPT WHERE OTHERWISE INDICATED ON THESE PLANS OR IN THE PROPOSAL AND SUPPLEMENTAL SPECIFICATIONS CONTAINED HEREIN, ALL MATERIALS AND WORKMANSHIP SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION STANDARD SPECIFICATIONS FOR CONSTRUCTION, 2003 EDITION.

THE DESIGN ENGINEER. CONSTRUCTION ENGINEER OR THEIR REPRESENTATIVES ARE NOT RESPONSIBLE FOR THE CONTRACTOR'S MEANS, METHODS, TECHNIQUES, SEQUENCES, PROCEDURES OR FOR SAFETY IN CONNECTION WITH THE WORK.

THE DESIGN OF THIS STRUCTURE IS BASED ON CURRENT AASHTO LRFD BRIDGE DESIGN SPECIFICATIONS HL93 LOADING. LOAD AND RESISTANCE FACTOR DESIGN METHOD WAS USED FOR THIS STRUCTURE. LIVE LOAD PLUS IMPACT DEFLECTION DOES NOT EXCEED 1/1000 OF SPAN LENGTH.

THE PROPOSED IMPROVEMENTS COVERED BY THESE PLANS ARE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE AASHTO: A POLICY ON GEOMETRIC DESIGN OF HIGHWAY AND STREETS, 2004.

ALL EXPOSED CONCRETE CORNERS THAT ARE SHOWN SQUARE ON THE PLANS SHALL BE BEVELED WITH 1/2" TRIANGULAR MOLDINGS, EXCEPT AS OTHERWISE NOTED.

THE DESIGN OF THE STRUCTURAL MEMBERS IS BASED ON MATERIAL OF THE FOLLOWING GRADES AND STRESSES:

CONCRETE: GRADE D (BRIDGE RAILING) f'c =	4500 psi
CONCRETE: GRADE Df'c =	4000 psi
CONCRETE: GRADE S2 f'c =	3000 psi
STEEL REINFORCEMENT: fy =	60,000 psi
STEEL REINFORCEMENT:	••••••
(STIRRUPS FOR PRESTRESSED BEAMS) fy =	60,000 psi
PRESTRESSED CONCRETE:fc =	5000 psi
PRESTRESSING STRANDS f's =	270 000 psi

THE CONTRACTOR SHALL LOCATE ALL ACTIVE UNDERGROUND UTILITIES PRIOR TO STARTING WORK, AND SHALL CONDUCT HIS OPERATIONS IN SUCH A MANNER AS TO INSURE THAT THOSE UTILITIES NOT REQUIRING RELOCATION WILL NOT BE DISTURBED.

FOR PROTECTION OF UNDERGROUND UTILITIES, THE CONTRACTOR SHALL CALL MISS DIG AT 800-482-7171 A MINIMUM OF THREE WORKING DAYS PRIOR TO EACH EXCAVATION IN THE VICINITY OF UTILITY LINES. ALL "MISS DIG" PARTICIPATING MEMBERS WILL THEN BE ROUTINELY NOTIFIED. THIS DOES NOT RELIEVE THE CONTRACTOR OF THE RESPONSIBILITY OF NOTIFYING UTILITY OWNERS WHO MAY NOT BE PART OF THE "MISS DIG" ALERT SYSTEM

THE CONTRACTOR IS TO EXERCISE CARE TO AVOID EXCESSIVE SILTING OF THE STREAM DURING CONSTRUCTION; PROVISIONS WILL BE MADE FOR CONTROL OF EROSION ON SHOULDERS AND SLOPES BY MEANS OF SEEDING, SODDING OR OTHER ACCEPTABLE METHODS ALLOWED BY M.D.E.Q. PERMIT.

GMIDOI

CONTRACT FOR: BRIDGE AND APPROACHES COUNTY ROAD COMMISSION APPROVAL CHAIRMAN LARRY V. PAXTON, P.E. DATE VICE CHAIRMAN CLINTON L. STAUFFER DATE WEMBER JACKIE BERTSCH DATE MEMBER JACKIE BERTSCH DATE MEMBER GEORGE E. PAPPAS DATE MEMBER GEORGE E. PAPPAS DATE MANAGER TIM O'ROURKE DATE PREPARED UNDER THE SUPERVISION OF: SCOTT J. PAWLOSKI, P.E. PATE REGISTERED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER REGISTERATION NUMBER: MI 39181 R.S. SCOTT ASSOCIATES, INC. – AGENT DATE MATE B01 of 72–09–01 BHEET I/07 JN 86365A OF							
COUNTY ROAD COMMISSION APPROVAL CHAIRMAN LARRY V. PAXTON, P.E. DATE VICE CHAIRMAN CLINTON L. STAUFFER DATE MEMBER JACKIE BERTSCH DATE MEMBER JACKIE BERTSCH DATE MEMBER GEORGE E. PAPPAS DATE MEMBER GEORGE E. PAPPAS DATE MANAGER TIM O'ROURKE DATE PREPARED UNDER THE SUPERVISION OF: SCOTT J. PAWLOSKI, P.E. MATE REGISTERED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER REGISTRATION NUMBER: MI 39181 R.S. SCOTT ASSOCIATES, INC. – AGENT DATE TAS 11/07 B01 of 72-09-01 SHEET NO. HEET TAS 11/07 JN 86365A OF 1.0	CONTRACT	FOR	BRIDGE	AND APPROAC	CHES	3	
CHAIRMAN LARRY V. PAXTON, P.E. DATE VICE CHAIRMAN CLINTON L. STAUFFER DATE MEMBER JACKIE BERTSCH DATE MEMBER JACKIE BERTSCH DATE MEMBER KIM AKIN DATE MEMBER GEORGE E. PAPPAS DATE MEMBER GEORGE E. PAPPAS DATE MANAGER TIM O'ROURKE DATE PREPARED UNDER THE SUPERVISION OF: SCOTT J. PAWLOSKI, P.E. REGISTERED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER REGISTERED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER REGISTRATION NUMBER: MI 39181 R.S. SCOTT ASSOCIATES, INC AGENT DATE TAS 11/07 B01 of 72-09-01 SHEET NO. HEET TAS 11/07 JN 86365A OF 1 OF	COUNTY ROAD COMMISSION APPROVAL						
VICE CHAIRMAN CLINTON L. STAUFFER DATE MEMBER JACKIE BERTSCH DATE MEMBER KIM AKIN DATE MEMBER GEORGE E. PAPPAS DATE MANAGER TIM O'ROURKE DATE PREPARED UNDER THE SUPERVISION OF: PREPARED UNDER THE SUPERVISION OF: SCOTT J. PAWLOSKI, P.E. REGISTERED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER REGISTRATION NUMBER: MI 39181 R.S. SCOTT ASSOCIATES, INC. – AGENT DATE DATE TAS DATE	CHAIRMAN	-	LARRY V.	PAXTON, P.E.		DATE	
MEMBER JACKIE BERTSCH DATE MEMBER KIM AKIN DATE MEMBER GEORGE E. PAPPAS DATE MANAGER TIM O'ROURKE DATE PREPARED UNDER THE SUPERVISION OF: SCOTT J. PAWLOSKI, P.E. REGISTERED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER REGISTERED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER REGISTRATION NUMBER: MI 39181 R.S. SCOTT ASSOCIATES, INC AGENT DATE TAS 11/07 B01 of 72-09-01 SHEET NO. HEET SJP 11/07 JN 863655A OF 1 OF	VICE CHAIRMAN	-	CLINTON L	. STAUFFER		DATE	
MEMBER KIM AKIN DATE MEMBER GEORGE E. PAPPAS DATE MANAGER TIM O'ROURKE DATE PREPARED UNDER THE SUPERVISION OF: DATE SCOTT J. PAWLOSKI, P.E. REGISTERED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER REGISTERED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER REGISTRATION NUMBER: MI 39181 R.S. SCOTT ASSOCIATES, INC AGENT DATE DATE TAS 11/07 B01 of 72-09-01 HEET TAS 11/07 JN 86365A OF	MEMBER	-	JACKIE BE	RTSCH		DATE	
MEMBER GEORGE E. PAPPAS DATE MANAGER TIM O'ROURKE DATE PREPARED UNDER THE SUPERVISION OF: DATE SCOTT J. PAWLOSKI, P.E. REGISTERED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER REGISTERED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER REGISTRATION NUMBER: MI 39181 R.S. SCOTT ASSOCIATES, INC AGENT DATE DATE Image: Date SHEET NO. SHEET NO. SHEET NO. SUP 11/07 JN 86365A OF 1.0	MEMBER	-	KIM AKIN			DATE	
MANAGER TIM O'ROURKE DATE PREPARED UNDER THE SUPERVISION OF: SCOTT J. PAWLOSKI, P.E. REGISTERED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER REGISTERED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER REGISTRATION NUMBER: MI 39181 R.S. SCOTT ASSOCIATES, INC AGENT DATE DATE HEET Image: Date SHEET NO. SHEET NO. SHEET NO. Image: Date SUP 11/07 JN 863655A OF 1.0	MEMBER	-	GEORGE E	. PAPPAS		DATE	
PREPARED UNDER THE SUPERVISION OF: SCOTT J. PAWLOSKI, P.E. REGISTERED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER REGISTRATION NUMBER: MI 39181 R.S. SCOTT ASSOCIATES, INC. – AGENT DATE HEET NO. SHEET NO. SHEET NO. SHEET NO. SHEET NO. SHEET NO. I (0	MANAGER	-	TIM O'ROL	RKE		DATE	
SCOTT J. PAWLOSKI, P.E. REGISTERED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER REGISTRATION NUMBER: MI 39181 R.S. SCOTT ASSOCIATES, INC. – AGENT DATE DATE Import Date BPY DATE BY DATE SHEET NO. CHEET NO. CHEET NO. SHEET NO. SHEET NO. DATE SUP 11/07 JN 863655A OF 10	PREPARED UNDER THE SUPERVISION OF:						
DATE DATE DATE SHEET NO. TAS 11/07 B01 of 72-09-01 SHEET NO. CHK DATE II/07 JN 86365A OF 1 ()	SCOTT J. PAWLOSKI, P.E. REGISTERED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER REGISTRATION NUMBER: MI 39181 R.S. SCOTT ASSOCIATES, INC. – AGENT						
HEET DATE B01 of 72-09-01	DATE						
HEEI CHK DATE I SJP 11/07 JN 86365A OF 1.0		BY: TAS	DATE: 11/07	B01 of 72-09-	-01	SHEE	TNO.
	HEEI	CHK: SJP	DATE:	JN 86365A		OF	10





NOTES:

THE WORK COVERED BY THESE PLANS INCLUDE: REMOVAL OF PORTIONS OF EXISTING STRUCTURE, MAINTAINING TRAFFIC, CONSTRUCTION OF THE PROPOSED BRIDGE, CONSTRUCTION OF SIGNS & BARRICADES, AND CONSTRUCTING THE APPROACHES TO THE LIMITS SHOWN.

THE PROPOSED PROJECT WILL BE CLOSED TO THRU TRAFFIC AND TRAFFIC IS TO BE MAINTAINED OVER OTHER EXISTING ROADS. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL PROVIDE ALL SIGNING AND MAINTENANCE AS OUTLINED IN THE SPECIAL PROVISION "TRAFFIC MAINTENANCE AND CONTROL" THESE SIGNS SHALL REMAIN IN PLACE AND THE ROAD CLOSED TO THRU TRAFFIC UNTIL SUCH TIME AS THE ENGINEER APPROVES OPENING THE ROAD TO TRAFFIC.

EXISTING SIGNS TO BE SALVAGED AND STOCKPILED ON SITE FOR PICKUP BY THE ROSCOMMON COUNTY ROAD COMMISSION.

THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE TO OBTAIN ANY AND ALL PERMITS REQUIRED UNDER THE SOIL EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL ACT (PART 91 OF PUBLIC ACT 451) OR ANY OTHER LEGALLY MANDATED PERMITS FOR OFF-SITE DISPOSAL OF SPOILS GENERATED BY THIS WORK.

THE WATER LEVEL IS SUBJECT TO CHANGE. THE CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE FOR MAKING A DETERMINATION OF THE WATER LEVELS THAT MAY FXIST DURING CONSTRUCTION

MEASURES SHALL BE TAKEN TO PREVENT DEBRIS FROM FALLING FROM THE STRUCTURE. (IF DEBRIS FALLS INTO THE WATERWAY, IT SHALL BE REMOVED WITHIN 24 HOURS. SINCE DISTURBANCE OF THE WATERWAY BOTTOM MAY BE AS HARMFUL AS THE DEBRIS ITSELF, THE PREVENTIVE MEASURES MUST BE EFFECTIVE.)

TEMPORARILY STORED EXCAVATED MATERIAL SHALL NOT BE ALLOWED TO ERODE INTO THE WATERCOURSE.

STRUCTURE BACKFILL COMPACTED-IN-PLACE 45 CUBIC YARDS.

ALL OFFSET DIMENSIONS ARE TAKEN FROM THE CONSTRUCTION CENTERLINE.

PLAN ELEVATIONS REFER TO I.G.L.D. DATUM.

	BY	DATE:		SHEET NC
DI AN OF SITE	TAS	11/07	BO1 of 72-09-01	2
FLAN OF OTE	CHK:	DATE		6
	SJP	11/07	JN 86365A	of 1



BORING NOTES:

0 1ST 6" 0 2ND 6" 3RD 6"

NUMBERS IN CIRCLES DENOTE NUMBER OF BLOWS REQUIRED TO DRIVE A 2.00" O.D. SPLIT SPOON SAMPLER 3 SUCCESSIVE 6" INCREMENTS USING A 140# HAMMER FALLING 30".

CONSISTENCY WAS DETERMINED BY INSPECTION OF SAMPLES AND SUBSTANTIATED BY SOILS RESISTANCE TO DRILLING TOOLS.

WATER LEVELS MAY BE INFLUENCED BY RESIDUAL BORING WATER.

THE SOIL BORING LOGS REPRESENT POINT INFORMATION. PRESENTATION OF THIS INFORMATION IN NO WAY IMPLIES THAT SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS ARE THE SAME AT LOCATIONS OTHER THAN THE EXACT LOCATION OF THE BORING.

BORINGS BY: PEARSON DRILLING COMPANY

6100 W. BLUE RD. LAKE CITY, MI 49651 4/13/06

•			
BY:	DATE		SHEET NO.
TAS	11/07	B01 of 72-09-01	2
CHK	DATE		U U
SJP	11/07	JN 86365A	of 10
	BY: TAS CHK: SJP	BY: DATE: TAS 11/07 CHK: DATE: SJP 11/07	BY: DATE TAS 11/07 CHK: DATE SJP 11/07 JN 86365A





SJP

11/07

10

OF



ABUTMENT SECTION



BEARING DETAILS

NOTE: 2" MIN. DIA. X 9" DEEP FIELD DRILLED HOLES. AFTER ERECTING DECK PLANKS, DOWEL HOLES SHALL BE FILLED TO TOP OF DECK PLANKS WITH TYPE H-1, GROUT AT THE FIXED BEARING. AT THE EXPANSION BEARING THE DOWEL HOLES SHALL BE FILLED WITH HOT POURED RUBBER ASPHALT TYPE FILLER TO @ LEAST 3" ABOVE THE ANCHOR DOWELS AND THE REMAINDER SHALL BE FILLED WITH TYPE H-1, GROUT. (INCLUDED IN PAY ITEM PREST CONC DECK, 17 INCH SEE STANDARD SPECIFICATION 708)



R. S. SCOTT ASSOCIATES, INC. ENGINEERING · · ARCHITECTURE · SURVEYING

405 RIVER STREET ALPENA, MICHIGAN 49707 (989) 354-3178

ROSCOMMON COUNTY ROAD COMMISSION OLD US-27 OVER WOLF CREEK ROSCOMMON TOWNSHIP SECTIONS 15 & 16, T21N - R4W

DETAILS

	BY:	DATE:		SHEET NO.	
OF STRUCTURE	TAS	11/07 BO1 of 72-09-0		6	
OF STRUCTURE	CHK		- 0		
	SJP	11/07	JN 86365A	of 10	

1	h		DIMENSIONS								
	U	C	d	e	f	q	h	SIZE	LENGTH	REO'D	WT
-10"								#4	4'-10"	8	26
5'-6"								#4	5'-6"	8	20
6'-6"								#4	6'-6"	8	35
7'-9"								#4	7'-0"	0	
8'-6"								#4	8'-6"	8	45
1'-6"								#6	1'-6"	100	200
2'-9"								#6	22'-9"	8	200
)'-11"	1'-4"	0'-11"						#6	3'-2"	64	304
?'-11"	0'-8"	2'-11"						#6	6'-6"	8	78
	5'-6" 5'-6" 7'-9" B'-6" 1'-6" 2'-9" '-11"	5'-6" 5'-6" 8'-6" 1'-6" 2'-9" '-11" 1'-4" '-11" 0'-8"	5'-6"	5'-6''	5'-6''	5'-6''	5'-6'' ''''''''''''''''''''''''''''''''''''	5'-6''	5'-6'' #4 5'-6'' #4 7'-9'' #4 8'-6'' #4 1'-6'' #4 2'-9'' #4 1'-6'' #4 2'-9'' #6 '-11'' 1'-4'' 0'-11'' #6 '-11'' 1'-6''	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

				STEEL REINF	ORCEMENT, EP	DXY COATED -	DECK					and designed and the second
DIMENSIONS								NO	TOTAL			
MARK	a	b	С	d	e	f	g	h	SIZE	LENGTH	RFO'D	WT
EA033806	38'-6"								#3	38'-6"	40	579
EA033908	39'-8"								#3	39'-8"	4	60
EA040011	0'-11"								#4	0'-11"	2	1
EA043610	36'-10"								#4	36'-10"	40	084
EA043701	37'-1"								#4	37'-1"	37	917
EA044300	43'-0"								#4	43'-0"	2	57
									TOTA	DECK	the second s	2598

DIMENSIONS									NO.	TOTAL		
MARK	a	b	С	d	e	f	9	h	SIZE	LENGTH	RFO'D	WT.
EA040408	4'-8"								#4	4'-8"	16	50
EA040508	5'-8"								#4	5'-8"	16	6
EA053908	39'-8"								#5	39'-8"	16	662

NOTES:

TOLERANCES IN CUTTING AND BENDING BARS ARE AS ESTIMATED IN THE MANUAL OF STANDARD PRACTICE OF THE CONCRETE REINFORCING STEEL INSTITUTE AND DETAILING MANUAL OF THE AMERICAN CONCRETE INSTITUTE.

ALL BENDS IN REINFORCING STEEL TO BE MADE ABOUT A PIN OF THE MINIMUM DIAMETER ALLOWED BY SPECIFICATIONS.



BAR NUMBERS:





R. S. SCOTT ASSOCIATES, INC. ENGINEERING ARCHITECTURE SURVEYING (985)

405 RIVER STREET ALPENA, MICHIGAN 49707 (989) 354–3178

Approach Quantities:	Quantity	Uni
Mobilization, Max \$25,000.00	1	LS
Pavt, Rem	1654	Syd
Excavation, Earth	150	Cyd
Subgrade Manipulation	827	Syd
Turbidity Curtain (Deep)	200	Fť
Aggregate Base	700	Ton
Shoulder, Cl II	70	Ton
HMA, 13A	150	Ton
Conc Pavt, Misc. Reinf, 9 inch	160	Syd
Joint, Expansion, E3	81	Ft
Guardrail, Type B	100	Ft
Guardrail Approach Terminal, Type 1B	4	Ea
Guardrail Reflector	20	Ea
Guardrail Anch, Bridge, Det T3, Modified	4	Ea
Sign, Type III, Rem	2	Ea
Pavt Mrkg, Regular Dry, 4 inch, Yellow	100	Ft
Pavt Mrkg, Regular Dry, 4 inch, White	700	Ft
Barricade, Type III, High Intensity, Lighted, Furn	6	Ea
Barricade, Type III, High Intensity, Lighted, Oper	6	Ea
Pavt Mrkg, Type R, 4 inch, Yellow, Temp	24	Ft
Sign, Type B, Temp, Furn	565	Sft
Sign, Type B, Temp, Oper	565	Sft
Slope Restoration	550	Syd
Bridge Quantities:		
Structures, Rem Portions	1	15
Embankment, CIP	50	Cvd
Backfill, Structure, CIP	45	Cvd
Excavation, Fdn	90	Cvd
Substructure Conc	22	Cvd
Superstructure Conc	28	Cvd
Superstructure Conc. Form, Finish, and Cure	1	LS
Reinforcement, Steel, Epoxy Coated	4636	Lb
Water Repellent Treatment, Penetrating	15	Svd
Bearing, Elastomeric, 3/4 inch	42	Sft
Prest Conc Deck, 17 inch	1495	Sft
Post Tensioning	1	LS
Joint Waterproofing	390	Sft
Bridge Railing, Open Parapet Type	80	Ft
Adhesive Anchoring of Vertical Bar 3/4 inch	64	Ea
Riprap. Plain	55	Syd
server the state of the		

OLIANITITIES	BY:	DATE:		SHEET NO.
	TAS	11/07	B01 of 72-09-01	7
AND	CHK:	DATE:		
EINFORCEMENT	SJP	11/07	JN 86365A	OF 10



	BY	DATE:	B01 of 72-09-01	SHEET NO.
ENT DETAILS	CHK	DATE		8
	SJP	11/07	JN 86365A	of 10





6" REINF. CONC. SLAB ED04 BARS (SLAB TIE) - AO6/AO4 BARS (TYP.)

DO4 BARS (TYP.) BOTTOM "D" BARS MAY BE PLACED UNDER STRANDS.

-1/2" PRESTRESSED STRANDS

NOTES

FOR HANDLING PURPOSES, EACH BEAM CAN BE TAKEN TO WEIGH 9.8 TONS. ACTUAL WEIGHT TO BE DETERMINED BY BOX BEAM MANUFACTURER.

THE VALUE OF "E" USED IN CALCULATING STRAND ELONGATION SHALL BE AS RECOMMENDED BY THE STRAND MANUFACTURER. STRANDS WILL BE PLACED SYMMETRICALLY ABOUT THE CENTERLINE OF BEAM.

ELASTOMER FOR ELASTOMERIC BEARING SHALL BE NOMINAL (70) DUROMETER HARDNESS UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED. THE DESIGN OF THESE PADS IS BASED ON A MAX. PRESSURE OF 64 PSI D.L. AND 100 PSI D.L. + L.L.

POSITION DOWELS SHALL MEET AASHTO M 183 AND BE HOT-DIP GALVANIZED ACCORDING TO AASHTO M 232. POSITION DOWELS ARE INCLUDED IN THE PAY ITEM PREST CONC DECK, 17 INCH.

THE COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH OF THE CONCRETE SHALL NOT BE LESS THAN 5000 PSI @ 28 DAYS.

PRESTRESSING STRANDS SHALL BE 0.6" NOMINAL DIAMETER MEETING THE REQUIREMENTS OF AASHTO M 203 (ASTM A416), GRADE 270, LOW RELAXATION STRAND

PRESTRESSING STRANDS SHALL BE GIVEN AN INITIAL PRESTRESS OF 31,000 LBS.

STEEL REINFORCEMENT IN BEAMS, STEEL BEARINGS AND CONCRETE INSERTS ARE INCLUDED IN THE PAY ITEM PREST CONC DECK, 17 INCH.

THE COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH OF THE CONCRETE AT THE TIME OF PRESTRESSING FORCE RELEASE SHALL NOT BE LESS THAN 4000 PSI.

LIFTING OF BEAMS SHALL BE EQUAL LOADS TO EACH PAIR OF LIFTING DEVICES.

THE INITIAL FORCE IN THE THE TRANSVERSE POST-TENSIONING TENDONS SHALL BE 82,500 LBS. EACH.

TACK WELDING OF STEEL REINFORCEMENT IS PROHIBITED.

THE STEEL REINFORCEMENT, STRAND PATTERN, BOND BREAKERS (IF REQUIRED) AND DIMENSIONS THE FASCIA BEAMS ARE IDENTICAL TO THAT IN INTERIOR BEAMS UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED.

THE ESTIMATED BEAM CAMBER AT RELEASE IS 0.78". THIS CAMBER IS DUE TO PRESTRESS AND DEAD LOAD OF THE BEAM ONLY AND IS MEASURED IN THE ERECTED POSITION.

CONCRETE INSERTS SHALL BE 3/4" DIAMETER; RICHMOND, TYPE T2 OR TYPE TL2F; DAYTON SUPERIOR, TYPE B-1 HEAVY OR TYPE B-18; WILLIAMS, TYPE C 12 OR TYPE C-19; MEADOW STEEL, TYPE CT-2 OR TYPE CX-4: OR EQUAL. INSERTS SHALL BE CAST WITH THE BEAMS. FIELD INSTALLATION OF THE INSERTS WILL NOT BE ALLOWED.

NO FIELD DRILLING IN PRESTRESSED CONCRETE DECK.

A MINIMUM OF SEVEN SETS OF SHOP DRAWINGS WILL BE REQUIRED FOR APPROVAL DOCUMENTATION, SECTION 104.02 OF THE MDOT 2003 STANDARD SPECIFICATIONS NOTWITHSTANDING.

THE CONTRACTOR SHALL SUPPLY THE BOX BEAM SUPPLIER WITH ONE COMPLETE SET OF PLANS AND ONE PROJECT PROPOSAL, AT THE TIME OF SIGNING THE MDOT CONTRACT

LIFTING DEVICES SHALL BE REMOVED AFTER BEAMS ARE ERECTED. INSTALLATION AND REMOVAL IS INCLUDED IN THE BID ITEM PREST CONC DECK, 17 INCH.

0.-0. DENOTES OUT TO OUT.

	BY:	DATE		SHEET NO.	
	TASAS	11/07	B01 of 72-09-01	10	
BEAM DETAILS	CHK:	DATE			
	SJP	11/07	JN 86365A	of 10	



rintar (http://

You created this PDF from an application that is not licensed to print to novaP	DF printer (http://www.novapdf.com)
---	-------------------------------------



You created this PDF from an application that is not licensed to print to novaPDF printer (http://www.novapdf.com)



You created this PDF from an application that is not licensed to print to novaPDF printer (http://www.novapdf.com)

Sherman. Bridge

Railing Post Anchor Bolt Assembly - 4 Reg'd. per post. Fascia Beam Detail Use I piece 0.137 Mesh 2" in from each end of each beam. place 1' & plastic vois drain in each and of all voids. Mark Jouth and of all bears as shown. interior beams are interchangeable with numbers for 6. Remove thear key on outside of fascia beams. 38 each 7/8 & ituas, wi ners & nuts (galvanized). LAMAC CORP. Structural Products Division APPROVED BY: DRAWN BY RCL REVISED 7-17-75 County Road Commission County Room 602 Bridge over the Ausable Civer DRAWING NUMBER JPD 3375-2

RS 1470 (101)

CORPORATE OFFICE (810) 341-7500	LAPEER (810) 664-9411 TらR	MT. PLEASANT (989) 772-2138	MYRTLE BEACH, SC (843) 444-1020	KENTWOOD, (616) 272-71	MI AIR-LAN 25 (810)	D SURVEYS 762-6800	FARMINGTON HILLS (248) 675-1096	GRAYLING (989) 348-403
CALCULATED BY	20			SHEFT 1/1				
				SHEET_1/_1				
VEATHER								
		KENO	OVER	S. BR	SABLE	RIVER		
•								
12.0	rto						at I	6
	15			2" 11 AA A	NI-RIAN		4 1	
			(···	S HINH C	DELGAT			
	1777	ann ma	114/11	11-111	11116			
		23	4 5	6 7	8	9.11	0	
	L.							
	E		CONCRET	EBOXF	SEAMS	7		
	1	TTP	CAL U	RUSS SE	aton			
AIDTES	-							
1001	RETE ON	THE AD	THENTO				10	
Conve	ACE ID CITI	VIILEULIC 1/120	1.4.13					
			11 man	APPO	AdA		FACE	
			HINH	1111100	TCO		COF	84
							GUARP	RATIC
					-11			-
	ſ			35	-2 -		17	
-								
			46'-	-0"				
								1.402
			.0	APPED	-4			
		HA	14 1	TITZOA	UT			+ + +
			GENERI	7L PLA	NOF	SITE		